

Ecological site R150AY537TX Lowland

Last updated: 9/22/2023 Accessed: 05/21/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Mike Stellbauer, Zone RMS, NRCS, Bryan, TX
Contact for lead author	
Date	06/08/2004
Approved by	Bryan Christensen
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1.	Number and extent of rills: None.
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Water flow patterns should not be evident on this depressional site.
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None.

4.	moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Less than 15 percent bare ground randomly distributed throughout.
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None.
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None.
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Little litter movement can be expected.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil surface is resistant to erosion. Stability class range is expected to be 5 to 6.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Soil surface structure is about 8 inches thick with dark grayish brown clay loam subangular blocky structure. SOM is 1 to 4 percent.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Little effect in this depressional landscape position.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None.
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

	Sub-dominant: Forbs
	Other: Cool-season grasses/grass-likes
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Some plant mortality can be expected for perennial warm-season grasses (FACU, UP) or perennial warm-season forbs (FAC, FW, OB) depending on the length of ponding during the growing season.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): 4,000 pounds per acre for below average moisture years to 7,000 pounds per acre for above average moisture years.
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Potential invasive species include bahiagrass, bermudagrass, and Chinese tallow tree.
17.	Perennial plant reproductive capability: All perennial plants should be capable of reproducing, except for periods of prolonged drought conditions, heavy herbivory, or intense wildfires.

Dominant: Warm-season tallgrasses/grass-likes