

# Ecological site R058DY008SD Sands

Last updated: 7/18/2024 Accessed: 05/21/2025

#### **General information**

**Provisional**. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.



Figure 1. Mapped extent

Areas shown in blue indicate the maximum mapped extent of this ecological site. Other ecological sites likely occur within the highlighted areas. It is also possible for this ecological site to occur outside of highlighted areas if detailed soil survey has not been completed or recently updated.

#### MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 058D-Northern Rolling High Plains, Eastern Part

The Northern Rolling High Plains, Eastern Part (MLRA 58D) is shared between South Dakota (65 percent), Montana (21 percent), and North Dakota (14 percent). The MLRA is

approximately 2,755 square miles. The small towns of Buffalo and Camp Crook, South Dakota, and Marmarth, North Dakota, are all within the boundary of this MLRA, and Baker, Montana, is on the northern most edge. Portions of the Little Missouri National Grassland and Custer National Forest are also in the MLRA. Portions of the Little Missouri River and the headwaters of major tributaries that eventually form the Grand and Moreau Rivers in South Dakota are also in this area.

The Northern Rolling High Plains, Eastern Part consists of Cretaceous marine and continental sediments of shale, siltstone, and sandstone. The continental and marine Hell Creek Formation is under approximately 85 percent of the MLRA, and the Fox Hills Sandstone forms the southern boundary of the MLRA. Tertiary deposits are in scattered areas throughout the MLRA. These deposits consist of the Paleocene Ludlow and Tongue River Formations, the Oligocene White River Group, and the Miocene Arikaree Group. These Tertiary deposits are resistant and positioned above the Cretaceous beds. Ponderosa pine growing in areas of these Tertiary formations further distinguishes these formations from the other formations in the MLRA. Pleistocene and Holocene river sand and gravel deposits are also on the valley floors and on the terraces along the larger rivers in the area. A large Quaternary eolian deposit is directly south of the town of Buffalo.

The average elevation of MLRA 58D ranges from 2,300 feet to 4,000 feet, increasing gradually from east to west. Harding Peak is the highest point at 4,019 feet. In places, flat-topped, steep-sided buttes rise sharply above the gently rolling plains below.

The dominant soil orders in this MLRA are Alfisols, Entisols, Inceptisols, and Mollisols. The soils in the area dominantly have a frigid soil temperature regime, an ustic soil moisture regime that borders on aridic, and mixed mineralogy. They are shallow to very deep, generally well drained, and loamy or clayey.

Annual precipitation is 14 to 17 inches and can fluctuate widely from year to year. The majority of rainfall occurs early in the growing season. Some high-intensity thunderstorms occur mid to late summer. The native vegetation in this MLRA consists primarily of grasses and forbs with a small component of trees and shrubs along streams. Ponderosa pine grow on the upper slopes and on the top of some of the higher buttes. Open grasslands are characterized by western wheatgrass, green needlegrass, blue grama, and buffalograss. Wyoming big sagebrush grows on clayey soils in the western part of the MLRA.

More than four-fifths of the MLRA is privately owned ranches running cattle, sheep, or both. Less than 5 percent of the area is federally owned. The major resource concerns are water quality, wind erosion, and water erosion (USDA, NRCS. 2006. Ag Handbook 296).

# **Classification relationships**

**USDA** 

Land Resource Region G—Western Great Plains Range and Irrigated Region:

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 58D— Northern Rolling High Plains, Eastern Part

US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

Level IV Ecoregions of the Conterminous United States:

Forested Buttes—43d

Sagebrush Steppe—43e

**USDA** Forest Service

Ecological Subregions: Sections and Subsections of Conterminous United States:

Great Plains - Palouse Dry Steppe Province—331:

Missouri Plateau Section—331M

Sagebrush Steppe Subsection—334Mi

# **Ecological site concept**

The Sands Ecological Site is found throughout MLRA 58D. It is located on upland landscapes and does not receive additional moisture from runoff or overflow. This site can have very complex slopes that typically range from 5 to 15 percent. Soils are deep (greater than 20 inches), excessively drained, and formed in eolian sand or sandy alluvium. The surface layer is 3 to 6 inches thick with a texture range of loamy fine sand to sand. The subsurface textures are also loamy fine sand to sand.

The vegetation in the Reference State (1.0) consists of a mix of warm- and cool-season grasses, however, tall- and mid-statured warm-season grasses tend to be the dominant group. Prairie sandreed, sand bluestem, big bluestem, little bluestem, and blue grama are dominant warm-season grasses. Needle and thread is the dominant cool-season grass. Forbs are common and diverse. Common shrubs include fringed sagewort, rose, silver sagebrush, and small soapweed (yucca).

#### **Associated sites**

R058DY009SD	Sandy The Sandy ecological site is found adjacent to the Sands ecological site on slopes ranging from zero to six percent.
R058DY010SD	Loamy The Loamy ecological site is found adjacent to the Sands ecological site on slopes ranging from zero to six percent.
R058DY028SD	Shallow Sandy The Shallow Sandy ecological site is found in shallow soils adjacent to the Sands ecological site with slopes greater than nine percent.
R058DY030SD	Choppy Sands The Choppy Sands ecological site is found on moderately to steeply sloping hummocks and dunes adjacent to the Sands ecological site.

### Similar sites

R058DY010SD	Loamy The Loamy ecological site will have more western wheatgrass, less needle and thread, and higher vegetative production than the Sands ecological site.
R058DY009SD	Sandy The Sandy ecological site will have more western wheatgrass, and more vegetative production than the Sands ecological site.
R058DY030SD	Choppy Sands The Choppy Sands ecological site will have more prairie sandreed and little bluestems, and less vegetative production than the Sands ecological site.

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified					
Shrub	Not specified					
Herbaceous	<ul><li>(1) Calamovilfa longifolia</li><li>(2) Hesperostipa comata ssp. comata</li></ul>					

## Physiographic features

The Sands ecological site occurs on nearly level to moderately steep uplands.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Upland > Dune (2) Upland > Hill
Runoff class	Very low to low
Flooding frequency	None
Ponding frequency	None
Elevation	701–1,219 m
Slope	2–15%
Water table depth	203 cm
Aspect	Aspect is not a significant factor

#### **Climatic features**

The climate in MLRA 58D is typical of the drier portions of the Northern Great Plains where sagebrush steppes to the west yield to grassland to the east. Average annual precipitation ranges from 14 to 17 inches with most falling in the early growing season. Some high intensity, convective thunderstorms occur in the summer. Precipitation in winter occurs as snow. Temperatures show a wide range between summer and winter and

between daily maximums and minimums. This wide range is due to the high elevation and dry air, which permit rapid incoming and outgoing radiation. Outbreaks of cold air from Canada in winter move rapidly from northwest to southeast and account for extreme minimum temperatures. Extreme storms may occur during the winter but have the most severe effect on ranching operations during late winter and in spring.

The normal average annual temperature is about 44 °F. January is the coldest month with average temperatures ranging from about 12 °F (Marmarth, North Dakota) to about 20 °F (Baker, Montana). July is the warmest month with temperatures averaging from about 70 °F (Marmarth, North Dakota) to about 76 °F (Baker, Montana). The range of normal average monthly temperatures between the coldest and warmest months is about 55 °F. Wind speeds are estimated to average about 11 miles per hour (mph) annually, ranging from about 13 mph during the spring to about 10 mph during the summer. Daytime winds are generally stronger than nighttime winds. Strong storms may bring brief periods of high winds with gusts of more than 50 mph.

Growth of cool-season plants begins in early to mid-March, slowing or ceasing in late June. Warm-season plants begin growth about mid-May and continue to early or mid-September. Cool-season plants may green-up in September and October if adequate soil moisture is present.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	97-111 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	121-129 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	381-432 mm
Frost-free period (actual range)	93-115 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	120-132 days
Precipitation total (actual range)	356-432 mm
Frost-free period (average)	104 days
Freeze-free period (average)	125 days
Precipitation total (average)	406 mm

### **Climate stations used**

- (1) BAKER 1 E [USC00240412], Baker, MT
- (2) LADNER 9SW [USC00394671], Camp Crook, SD
- (3) CAMP CROOK [USC00391294], Camp Crook, SD
- (4) BUFFALO ASOS [USW00094037], Buffalo, SD
- (5) BUFFALO 13 ESE [USW00094081], Reva, SD
- (6) REDIG 11 NE [USC00397062], Buffalo, SD

(7) HOOVER [USC00393945], Newell, SD

## Influencing water features

No significant water features influence the Sands ecological site.

#### Soil features

Soils common to the Sands ecological site have loamy fine sand textured surface layers that are 3 to 6 inches thick. Slopes range from 2 to 15 percent. The soils in this site are well to excessively drained and formed in eolian sand or residuum formed in sandstone. The textures of the subsurface layers range from loamy fine sand to fine sand. Subsurface soil layers are nonrestrictive to water movement and root penetration.

This site should show slight to no evidence of rills, wind-scoured areas, or pedestalled plants. Water flow paths are broken, irregular in appearance, or discontinuous with numerous vegetative barriers. The soil surface is stable and intact.

Major Soils correlated to the Sands ecological site include, Hanly, Telfer, Trey, and Zeona.

Trey and Zeona are correlated to the Choppy Sands (R058DY030SD) ecological site when the slopes are greater than 15 percent.

These soils are susceptible to wind and water erosion. The hazard of water erosion increases on slopes greater than about 15 percent. Loss of 50 percent or more of the surface layer of the soils on this site can result in a shift in species composition and/or production.

More information can be found in the various soil survey reports. Contact the local USDA Service Center for soil survey reports that include more detail specific to your area of interest, or use the internet to access USDA's Web Soil Survey.

**Table 4. Representative soil features** 

Parent material	(1) Eolian sands–sandstone (2) Residuum–sandstone
Surface texture	(1) Loamy fine sand
Family particle size	(1) Sandy
Drainage class	Well drained to excessively drained
Permeability class	Moderately rapid to rapid
Soil depth	203 cm
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0%

Available water capacity (0-101.6cm)	7.62 cm
Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm)	0–5%
Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm)	0–2 mmhos/cm
Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm)	0
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm)	5.6–8.4
Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified)	0%
Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified)	0%

## **Ecological dynamics**

The Sands ecological site developed under the Northern Great Plains climatic conditions, light to severe grazing by bison and other large herbivores, sporadic natural or human-caused wildfire (often of light intensities), and other biotic and abiotic factors that typically influence soil and site development. Changes will occur in the plant communities due to short-term weather variations, impacts of native and exotic plant and animal species, and management actions. While the following plant community descriptions specify more typical transitions between communities that will occur, severe disturbances, such as periods of well below-average precipitation and the introduction of non-native cool-season grasses, can cause significant shifts in plant communities and species composition.


Blue grama, needle and thread, and upland sedges increase as this site deteriorates from improper management. Species such as sand bluestem, little bluestem, and prairie sandreed will decrease in frequency and production.

The plant community upon which interpretations are primarily based is the Prairie Sandreed-Needle and thread-Bluestem Plant Community. This plant community has been determined by studying rangeland relic areas, areas protected from excessive disturbance, and areas under long-term rotational grazing regimes. Trends in plant community dynamics ranging from heavily grazed to lightly grazed areas, seasonal use pastures, and historical accounts also have been used. Plant communities, states, transitional pathways, and thresholds have been determined through similar studies and experience.

The following is a State-and-Transition diagram that illustrates the common plant communities that can occur on the site and the transition pathways between communities. The ecological processes will be discussed in more detail in the plant community

#### State and transition model

## Sands - R058DY008SD 11/7/19



#### Diagram Legend: Sands - R058DY008SD

T1A	1.0 to 2.0	Heavy, continuous season-long grazing; frequent and severe defoliation: heavy disturbance; heavy grazing in combination with drought; wind erosion.
R2A	2.0 to 1.0	Removal of management-induced disturbance; restoration of site, including site stabilization, shaping, mulching, seeding; long-term prescribed grazing with proper stocking rates, change is season of use, and time for adequate recovery. This transition may not be fast or in the end meet management goals.
1.1A	1.1 to 1.2	Continuous season-long grazing; or heavy grazing in combination with drought.
1.2A	1.2 to 1.1	Prescribed grazing with proper stocking, change is season of use, and adequate time for recovery; a return to normal precipitation patterns.
1.2B	1.2 to 1.3	Continuous season-long grazing; continuous seasonal grazing; heavy grazing in combination with drought.
1.3A	1.3 to 1.2	Prescribed grazing with proper stocking, change is season of use, and adequate time for recovery; a return to normal precipitation patterns.
2.1A	2.1 to 2.2	Removal of management-induced disturbances.
2.2A	2.2 to 2.1	Heavy disturbance, and wind erosion.

# State 1 Reference State

The Reference State represents what is believed to show the natural range of variability that dominated the dynamics of the Sands ecological site prior to European settlement. This site in the Reference State (1.0) is dominated by warm-season grasses. In pre-European times, the primary disturbance mechanisms included frequent fire and grazing by large herding ungulates. Timing of fires and grazing coupled with weather events dictated the dynamics that occurred within the natural range of variability. Taller warm-and cool-season grasses would have declined and a corresponding increase in short statured grass and grass-like species would have occurred. Today, a similar state can be found on areas that are properly managed with grazing and prescribed burning and sometimes on areas receiving occasional short periods of rest.

### **Dominant plant species**

- soapweed yucca (Yucca glauca), shrub
- rose (Rosa), shrub
- prairie sandreed (Calamovilfa longifolia), grass
- needle and thread (Hesperostipa comata ssp. comata), grass
- sand bluestem (Andropogon hallii), grass
- blue grama (Bouteloua gracilis), grass
- little bluestem (Schizachyrium scoparium), grass
- prairie Junegrass (Koeleria macrantha), grass
- western wheatgrass (Pascopyrum smithii), grass
- sedge (Carex), grass
- dotted blazing star (*Liatris punctata*), other herbaceous
- prairie sagewort (Artemisia frigida), other herbaceous
- hairy false goldenaster (Heterotheca villosa), other herbaceous
- beardtongue (Penstemon), other herbaceous
- scurfpea (Psoralidium), other herbaceous

# Community 1.1 Prairie Sandreed-Needle and Thread-Bluestem

Interpretations are based primarily on the Prairie Sandreed-Needle and Thread-Bluestem Plant Community, which is also considered to be the Reference Plant Community (1.1). This plant community can be found on areas that are properly managed with grazing, and sometimes on areas receiving occasional short periods of deferment. Warm-season grasses dominate this plant community. The potential vegetation is about 85 percent grasses or grass-like plants, 10 percent forbs, 5 percent shrubs, and 0 to 1 percent matforming forbs. The major grasses include prairie sandreed, needle and thread, sand bluestem, and little bluestem. Other grasses or grass-like species occurring on the site include prairie Junegrass, western wheatgrass, and upland sedges. Significant forbs include dotted gayfeather, green sagewort, hairy goldaster, penstemon, and scurfpea. The

significant shrubs that occur include, fringed sagewort, rose, and yucca. This plant community is well adapted to the Northern Great Plains climatic conditions. Individual species can vary greatly in production depending on growing conditions (timing and amount of precipitation and temperature). The diversity in plant species allows for high drought tolerance. This is a healthy and sustainable plant community. Moderate or high available water capacity provides a favorable soil-water-plant relationship. Overall, the interpretive plant community has the appearance of being stable, diverse, and productive. Plant litter is properly distributed with very little movement offsite and natural plant mortality is very low.

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	1143	1746	2337
Forb	101	213	336
Shrub/Vine	101	159	219
Moss	_	11	22
Total	1345	2129	2914

Figure 9. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). SD5805, Northern Rolling High Plains, warm-season dominant.. Warm-season dominant, uplands..

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	2	5	15	25	30	15	7	1	0	0

# **Community 1.2 Needle and Thread-Prairie Sandreed**

This plant community develops under continuous season-long grazing or from over utilization during extended drought periods. The potential vegetation is made up of approximately 80 percent grasses and grass-like species, 10 percent forbs, 8 percent shrubs, and 2 percent mat-forming forbs. The dominant grasses include needle and thread and prairie sandreed. Other grasses or grass-like species may include blue grama, upland sedges, and little bluestem. Significant forbs include cudweed sagewort, green sagewort, scurfpea, and western ragweed. The dominant shrubs that occur include cactus, yucca, and fringed sagewort. Compared to the Prairie Sandreed-Needle and thread-Bluestem Plant Community (1.1), the shortgrass species including blue grama and threadleaf sedge have increased. The warm-season species including prairie sandreed, sand bluestem, and little bluestem have decreased in composition. Annual bromes, bluegrass, sweetclover, and other annual grasses and forbs can invade the site. This plant community can occur in a mosaic with patchy, slightly used areas occurring adjacent to and intermingled with this plant community. This plant community is not resistant to

change. Changes in grazing management can result in a shift to another plant community. This community is fairly resilient following normal disturbances because of the high diversity of plant species and the high amount of litter. Soil erosion is low. The water cycle is functioning due to the litter cover on the soil surface. Infiltration is high because of the soil texture and surface litter.

Table 6. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	846	1224	1709
Shrub/Vine	90	165	241
Forb	73	157	241
Tree	_	24	50
Total	1009	1570	2241

Figure 11. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). SD5803, Northern Rolling High Plains, cool-season/warm-season codominant.. Cool-season, warm-season co-dominant, uplands..

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	3	10	20	28	21	10	5	3	0	0

# **Community 1.3 Needle and Thread-Blue Grama**

This plant community typically develops over a period of several years with continuous season-long grazing or continuous seasonal grazing (grazing at the same time of year every year for extended periods during the growing season). It is made up of approximately 80 percent grasses and grass-like species, 10 percent forbs, 5 percent shrubs, and up to 5 percent mat-forming forbs. The dominant grasses and grass-likes are blue grama, needle and thread, sand dropseed, and threadleaf sedge. Significant forbs include western ragweed, green sagewort, scurfpea, goldenrod, and annual eriogonum. Dominant shrubs in this community include fringed sagewort, yucca, and cactus. Compared to the Prairie Sandreed-Needle and thread-Bluestem Plant Community (1.1), blue grama, sand dropseed, and threadleaf sedge have greatly increased. Prairie sandreed is greatly diminished. Sand bluestem and little bluestem are essentially absent. This plant community is not resistant to change due to the higher percentage of bare ground. The water cycle is impaired due to a reduction in litter and the potential for higher runoff and decreased infiltration. The risk for soil erosion increases.

Table 7. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Kg/Hectare)	Representative Value (Kg/Hectare)	High (Kg/Hectare)
Grass/Grasslike	566	863	1272
Shrub/Vine	50	112	174
Forb	50	112	174
Tree	6	34	62
Total	672	1121	1682

Figure 13. Plant community growth curve (percent production by month). SD5803, Northern Rolling High Plains, cool-season/warm-season codominant.. Cool-season, warm-season co-dominant, uplands..

Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
0	0	3	10	20	28	21	10	5	3	0	0

# Pathway 1.1A Community 1.1 to 1.2

Continuous season-long grazing or grazing for extended periods during the actively growing period of the dominant grasses without adequate recovery periods; or heavy grazing in combination with drought will lead to the Prairie Sandreed-Needle and Thread-Bluestem Plant Community (1.1) to the Needle and Thread-Prairie Sandreed Plant Community (1.2).

# Pathway 1.2A Community 1.2 to 1.1

Prescribed grazing with proper stocking rate, change in season of use, and adequate time for plant recovery; and a return to normal precipitation patterns following drought will convert the Needle and Thread-Prairie Sandreed Plant Community (1.2) to the Prairie Sandreed-Needle and Thread-Bluestem Plant Community (1.1).

## **Conservation practices**

Prescribed Grazing

# Pathway 1.2B Community 1.2 to 1.3

Continuous season-long grazing; or continuous seasonal grazing (grazing at moderate rates at the same time every year); or heavy grazing in combination with drought will move the Needle and Thread-Prairie Sandreed Plant Community (1.2) to the Needle and Thread-Blue Grama Plant Community (1.3).

# Pathway 1.3A Community 1.3 to 1.2

Prescribed grazing with proper stocking rate, change in season of use, and adequate time for plant recovery; and a return to normal precipitation patterns following drought will convert the Needle and Thread-Blue Grama Plant Community (1.3) to the Needle and Thread-Prairie Sandreed Plant Community (1.2).

### **Conservation practices**

**Prescribed Grazing** 

# State 2 Disturbed State

The Disturbed State (2.0) can be reached from any plant community through heavy disturbance. This can result from heavy livestock or wildlife concentration (i.e., water locations, bedding or loafing grounds, etc.,). With significant disturbances, large areas of blowing sand can result in large blowouts. Evaporation and transpiration rates of the few existing plants are extremely high due to bare ground and lack of litter.

### **Dominant plant species**

- soapweed yucca (Yucca glauca), shrub
- blue grama (Bouteloua gracilis), grass
- sand dropseed (Sporobolus cryptandrus), grass
- sedge (*Carex*), grass
- sixweeks fescue (Vulpia octoflora), grass
- cheatgrass (Bromus tectorum), grass
- prairie sagewort (Artemisia frigida), other herbaceous
- Cuman ragweed (Ambrosia psilostachya), other herbaceous
- common sunflower (Helianthus annuus), other herbaceous
- annual buckwheat (*Eriogonum annuum*), other herbaceous

# Community 2.1 Active Blowout

This condition can be reached from any other plant community. Large areas of blowing sand result in movement and possible enlargement of blowouts. Evaporation is extremely high, and transpiration of the few existing plants is also high due to bare ground, lack of litter, and low plant density. The plant community is in a low successional stage due to steep slopes and poor soil development.

## **Community 2.2**

### **Annual/Pioneer Perennials**

The Annual/Pioneer Perennial Plant Community develops under frequent and severe defoliation or excessive disturbance. This can result from heavy livestock or wildlife concentration (i.e., water locations, bedding or loafing grounds, feeding areas, etc.,) or cropping abandonment. The dominant vegetation includes pioneer annual grasses and forbs and early successional biennial and perennial species. Grasses may include blue grama, sand dropseed, sedge, sixweeks fescue, and cheatgrass. The dominant forbs may include green sagewort, western ragweed, annual sunflower, and annual buckwheat. Shrubs that may be present include cactus and small soapweed. This plant community is resistant to change as long as soil disturbance or severe vegetation defoliation persists, thus holding back secondary plant succession. Soil erosion is potentially high in this plant community. The community also is susceptible to invasion of non-native annual and perennial forbs due to severe soil disturbances and relatively high percent of bare ground. Reduced surface cover, low plant density, low plant vigor, and loss of root biomass, all contribute to decreased water infiltration, increased runoff, and accelerated erosion rates. If left without management, blowouts may occur. Significant economic inputs and time would be required to move this plant community toward a higher successional stage and a more productive plant community. Secondary succession is highly variable, depending upon availability and diversity of a viable seed bank of higher successional species within the existing plant community and neighboring plant communities. This plant community can be renovated to improve the production capability, but management changes would be needed to maintain the new plant community.

# Pathway 2.1A Community 2.1 to 2.2

The removal of disturbances may allow the blowouts to stabilize through plant succession and facilitate the Active Blowout Plant Community (2.1) to transition to the Annual/Pioneer Perennial Plant Community (2.2).

# Pathway 2.2A Community 2.2 to 2.1

Continued heavy disturbance resulting in an increase of wind erosion and bare ground will result in a shift from the Annual/Pioneer Perennials Plant Community (2.2) to the Active Blowout Plant Community (2.1)

# Transition T1A State 1 to 2

Heavy, continuous season-long grazing; frequent and severe defoliation; heavy disturbances; or heavy grazing in combination with drought; and wind erosion; will transition any plant community in the Reference State (1.0) to the Disturbed State (2.0).

# Restoration pathway R2A State 2 to 1

Removal of disturbances; restoration of the disturbed areas (i.e., use of mulch and seeding); and long-term prescribed grazing, which may require extended periods of deferment or non-use, may transition the Disturbed State (2.0) to the Reference State (1.0). This transition may not be fast or in the end meet management goals.

### **Conservation practices**

Mulching
Range Planting
Prescribed Grazing

## Additional community tables

Table 8. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass	/Grasslike				
1	Tall-Warmed Sea	soned Gra	sses	426–852	
	prairie sandreed	CALO	Calamovilfa longifolia	426–852	_
	sand bluestem	ANHA	Andropogon hallii	0–213	_
	big bluestem	ANGE	Andropogon gerardii	0–213	_
2	Cool-Season Bur	chgrass		319–532	
	needle and thread	HECOC8	Hesperostipa comata ssp. comata	319–532	_
	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	Achnatherum hymenoides	0–43	_
3	Mid Warm-Seaso	43–170			
	little bluestem	SCSC	Schizachyrium scoparium	43–170	_
4	Short Warm-Seas	on Grasse	es	43–170	
	blue grama	BOGR2	Bouteloua gracilis	43–170	_
	sand dropseed	SPCR	Sporobolus cryptandrus	0–43	_
5	Other Native Gras	sses		106–319	
	western wheatgrass	PASM	Pascopyrum smithii	43–213	_
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	Koeleria macrantha	43–170	_
	Grass, perennial	2GP	Grass, perennial	0–106	_
	Sandherd	POSF	Poa secunda	0–64	_

	bluegrass			J J.	
	Scribner's rosette grass	DIOLS	Dichanthelium oligosanthes var. scribnerianum	21–43	
	sixweeks fescue	VUOC	Vulpia octoflora	0–21	
6	Grass-likes			21–106	
	threadleaf sedge	CAFI	Carex filifolia	21–85	
	sun sedge	CAINH2	Carex inops ssp. heliophila	21–64	
	Grass-like (not a true grass)	2GL	Grass-like (not a true grass)	0–43	
	needleleaf sedge	CADU6	Carex duriuscula	0–43	
7	Non-Native Cool-	Season Gr	asses	-	
Forb	)		_	•	
8	Forbs			106–319	
	goldenrod	SOLID	Solidago	21–64	
	field sagewort	ARCA12	Artemisia campestris	21–64	
	Forb, native	2FN	Forb, native	21–64	
	western yarrow	ACMIO	Achillea millefolium var. occidentalis	21–43	
	bush morning- glory	IPLE	Ipomoea leptophylla	0–43	
	dotted blazing star	LIPU	Liatris punctata	21–43	
	wavyleaf thistle	CIUN	Cirsium undulatum	21–43	
	Cuman ragweed	AMPS	Ambrosia psilostachya	21–43	
	hairy false goldenaster	HEVI4	Heterotheca villosa	21–43	
	beardtongue	PENST	Penstemon	21–43	
	purple prairie clover	DAPU5	Dalea purpurea	21–43	
	rush skeletonplant	LYJU	Lygodesmia juncea	21–43	
	scurfpea	PSORA2	Psoralidium	21–43	
	annual buckwheat	ERAN4	Eriogonum annuum	0–43	
	longbract spiderwort	TRBR	Tradescantia bracteata	0–21	
	yellow sundrops	CASE12	Calylophus serrulatus	0–21	
	stiff sunflower	HEPA19	Helianthus pauciflorus	0–21	

	upright prairie coneflower	RACO3	Ratibida columnifera	0–21	_
	western wallflower	ERAS2	Erysimum asperum	0–21	_
	western marbleseed	ONBEO	Onosmodium bejariense var. occidentale	0–21	_
	whorled milkweed	ASVE	Asclepias verticillata	0–21	_
Shru	ıb/Vine				
9	Shrubs			106–213	
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	Shrub (>.5m)	0–64	_
	prairie sagewort	ARFR4	Artemisia frigida	21–64	_
	silver sagebrush	ARCA13	Artemisia cana	0–64	_
	plains pricklypear	OPPO	Opuntia polyacantha	21–43	_
	rose	ROSA5	Rosa	21–43	_
	soapweed yucca	YUGL	Yucca glauca	21–43	_
	brittle pricklypear	OPFR	Opuntia fragilis	21–43	_
	western sandcherry	PRPUB	Prunus pumila var. besseyi	0–21	_
Mos	s	-			
10	Mat-Forming Forl	os		0–21	
	lesser spikemoss	SEDE2	Selaginella densa	0–21	_

Table 9. Community 1.2 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass	/Grasslike				
1	Tall Warm-Seaso	n Grasses		157–314	
	prairie sandreed	CALO	Calamovilfa longifolia	157–314	_
	sand bluestem	ANHA	Andropogon hallii	0–31	_
	big bluestem	ANGE	Andropogon gerardii	0–31	_
2	Cool-Season Bun	235–471			
	needle and thread	HECOC8	Hesperostipa comata ssp. comata	157–471	_
	Indian ricegrass	ACHY	Achnatherum hymenoides	0–16	_
3	Mid- Warm-Seaso	n Bunchg	rass	16–78	
	little bluestem	scsc	Schizachyrium scoparium	16–78	_

4	Short Warm-Seas	on Grasse	es	47–235	
	blue grama	BOGR2	Bouteloua gracilis	31–157	
	sand dropseed	SPCR	Sporobolus cryptandrus	16–126	
5	Other Native Gras	sses		31–78	
	western wheatgrass	PASM	Pascopyrum smithii	31–157	
	Grass, perennial	2GP	Grass, perennial	0–78	
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	Koeleria macrantha	16–47	
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	Poa secunda	0–47	
	sixweeks fescue	VUOC	Vulpia octoflora	0–31	
	Scribner's rosette grass	DIOLS	Dichanthelium oligosanthes var. scribnerianum	16–31	
6	Grass-Likes			78–235	
	threadleaf sedge	CAFI	Carex filifolia	31–157	
	needleleaf sedge	CADU6	Carex duriuscula	16–63	
	sun sedge	CAINH2	Carex inops ssp. heliophila	16–63	
	Grass-like (not a true grass)	2GL	Grass-like (not a true grass)	0–31	
7	Non-Native Cool-	16–78			
	Kentucky bluegrass	POPR	Poa pratensis	16–78	
	cheatgrass	BRTE	Bromus tectorum	16–78	
	field brome	BRAR5	Bromus arvensis	0–31	
Fork	)		•	•	
8	Forbs			78–235	
	goldenrod	SOLID	Solidago	16–63	
	field sagewort	ARCA12	Artemisia campestris	16–63	
	scurfpea	PSORA2	Psoralidium	16–47	
	Cuman ragweed	AMPS	Ambrosia psilostachya	16–47	
	Forb, native	2FN	Forb, native	16–47	
	Forb, introduced	2FI	Forb, introduced	16–47	
	annual buckwheat	ERAN4	Eriogonum annuum	0–47	
	western yarrow	ACMIO	Achillea millefolium var. occidentalis	16–31	
	datted blazina	LIDLI	Liatris nunctata	16_31	

	star	LII O	<u> </u>	10-01	_
	wavyleaf thistle	CIUN	Cirsium undulatum	0–31	_
	hairy false goldenaster	HEVI4	Heterotheca villosa	0–16	-
	beardtongue	PENST	Penstemon	0–16	_
	purple prairie clover	DAPU5	Dalea purpurea	0–16	-
	rush skeletonplant	LYJU	Lygodesmia juncea	0–16	-
	whorled milkweed	ASVE	Asclepias verticillata	0–16	-
	yellow sundrops	CASE12	Calylophus serrulatus	0–16	_
	bush morning- glory	IPLE	Ipomoea leptophylla	0–16	_
Shrub	/Vine				
9	Shrubs			94–235	
	prairie sagewort	ARFR4	Artemisia frigida	16–78	_
	silver sagebrush	ARCA13	Artemisia cana	16–78	-
	soapweed yucca	YUGL	Yucca glauca	16–63	-
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	Shrub (>.5m)	0–47	-
	rose	ROSA5	Rosa	16–47	-
	plains pricklypear	OPPO	Opuntia polyacantha	16–31	
	brittle pricklypear	OPFR	Opuntia fragilis	16–31	
Moss					
10	Mat-Forming Fork		0–47		

Table 10. Community 1.3 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Kg/Hectare)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass	/Grasslike				
1	Tall Warm-Seaso	n Grasses	0–56		
	prairie sandreed	CALO	Calamovilfa longifolia	0–56	_
2	Cool Season Bun	chgrass		112–336	
	needle and thread	HECOC8	Hesperostipa comata ssp. comata	112–336	_
3	Mid- Warm-Seaso	n Bunchg	11–56		

	little bluestem	SCSC	Schizachyrium scoparium	11–56	
4	Short Warm-Seas	on Grasse	es	168–336	
	blue grama	BOGR2	Bouteloua gracilis	112–280	
	sand dropseed	SPCR	Sporobolus cryptandrus	22–168	_
5	Other Native Gras	sses		22–112	
	western wheatgrass	PASM	Pascopyrum smithii	11–56	_
	Grass, perennial	2GP	Grass, perennial	0–56	
	prairie Junegrass	KOMA	Koeleria macrantha	11–34	
	Scribner's rosette grass	DIOLS	Dichanthelium oligosanthes var. scribnerianum	11–22	
	sixweeks fescue	VUOC	Vulpia octoflora	0–22	
	Sandberg bluegrass	POSE	Poa secunda	0–22	
6	Grass-Likes			112–224	
	threadleaf sedge	CAFI	Carex filifolia	56–168	
	needleleaf sedge	CADU6	Carex duriuscula	11–56	
	sun sedge	CAINH2	Carex inops ssp. heliophila	11–56	_
	Grass-like (not a true grass)	2GL	Grass-like (not a true grass)	0–22	
7	Non-Native Cool-	Season Gr	asses	22–56	
	Kentucky bluegrass	POPR	Poa pratensis	11–56	_
	cheatgrass	BRTE	Bromus tectorum	11–56	
	field brome	BRAR5	Bromus arvensis	11–56	
Forb	)				
8	Forbs			56–168	
	goldenrod	SOLID	Solidago	11–56	
	field sagewort	ARCA12	Artemisia campestris	11–56	
	Cuman ragweed	AMPS	Ambrosia psilostachya	11–56	
	Forb, introduced	2FI	Forb, introduced	11–56	
	scurfpea	PSORA2	Psoralidium	11–45	_
	western yarrow	ACMIO	Achillea millefolium var. occidentalis	11–34	
	Forb, native	2FN	Forb, native	11–34	
	annual buckwheat	ERAN4	Eriogonum annuum	0–34	

	dotted blazing star	LIPU	Liatris punctata	0–11	-
	rush skeletonplant	LYJU	Lygodesmia juncea	0–11	
	wavyleaf thistle	CIUN	Cirsium undulatum	0–11	_
Shruk	o/Vine				
9	Shrubs			56–168	
	prairie sagewort	ARFR4	Artemisia frigida	11–90	_
	soapweed yucca	YUGL	Yucca glauca	11–78	_
	Shrub (>.5m)	2SHRUB	Shrub (>.5m)	0–34	_
	silver sagebrush	ARCA13	Artemisia cana	0–34	_
	plains pricklypear	OPPO	Opuntia polyacantha	11–34	_
	brittle pricklypear	OPFR	Opuntia fragilis	11–34	_
	rose	ROSA5	Rosa	11–22	_
Moss		•			
10	Mat-Forming Forl	bs		11–56	
	lesser spikemoss	SEDE2	Selaginella densa	11–56	_

## **Animal community**

#### Wildlife Interpretations

MLRA 58D lies within the drier portion of the northern mixed-grass prairie ecosystem where sagebrush steppes to the west yield to grassland steppes to the east. Prior to European settlement, this area consisted of diverse grass- and shrubland habitats interspersed with varying densities of depressional instream wetlands and woody riparian corridors. These habitats provided critical life cycle components for many users. Many species of grassland birds, small mammals, reptiles, amphibians, and herds of roaming bison, elk, and pronghorn were among the inhabitants adapted to this semi-arid region. Roaming herbivores, as well as several small mammal and insect species, were the primary consumers linking the grassland resources to predators such as the gray wolf, mountain lion, and grizzly bear, and smaller carnivores such as the coyote, bobcat, fox, and raptors. The prairie dog was once abundant; however, the species remains a keystone species within its range. The black-footed ferret, burrowing owl, ferruginous hawk, mountain plover, and swift fox were associated with prairie dog complexes.

Historically, the northern mixed-grass prairie was a disturbance-driven ecosystem with fire, herbivory, and climate functioning as the primary disturbance factors either singly or in combination. Following European settlement, livestock grazing, cropland conversion, elimination of fire, energy development, and other anthropogenic factors influenced species composition and abundance. Introduced and invasive species further impacted

plant and animal communities. The bison was a historical keystone species but had been extirpated in this area as a free-ranging herbivore. The loss of the bison and reduction of prairie dog populations and fire as ecological drivers greatly influenced the character of the remaining native plant communities and altered wildlife habitats. Human development has reduced habitat quality for area-sensitive species.

Within MLRA 58D, the Sands ecological site provides upland grassland cover with an associated forb component. It was typically part of an expansive grassland landscape that included combinations of Loamy, Shallow Loamy, Shallow Clayey, Thin Loamy, Claypan, Sandy, Sandy Claypan, Clayey, and Thin Claypan ecological sites.

This site provided habitat for species requiring unfragmented grassland. Important habitat features, and components found commonly or exclusively on this site may include sharp-tailed grouse leks; upland nesting habitat for grassland birds, forbs and insects for brood habitat; and a forage source for small and large herbivores. Many grassland and shrub steppe nesting bird populations are declining. Extirpated species include free-ranging American bison, grizzly bear, gray wolf, black-footed ferret, mountain plover, Rocky Mountain locust, and swift fox.

The majority of the Sands ecological site remains intact and provides increasingly important habitat for grassland nesting birds, small rodents, coyotes, and a variety of reptiles, amphibians, and insects. Invasive species such as annual bromegrasses and crested wheat have impacted the biological integrity of the site for some grassland birds.

Prairie Sandreed-Needle and Thread-Bluestem (1.1) and

Needle and Thread-Prairie Sandreed (1.2): The predominance of grasses plus high diversity of forbs and shrubs in this community favors grazers and mixed-feeders, such as deer and pronghorn. Insects, such as pollinators, play a large role in maintaining the forb community and provide a forage base for grassland birds and other species. The complex plant structural diversity provides habitat for a wide array of migratory and resident birds. Grasshopper sparrow, lark bunting, western meadowlark, and sharp-tailed grouse are common and benefit from the structure and composition this plant community provides. Diverse prey populations are available for grassland raptors such as ferruginous hawk, Swainson's hawk, golden eagle, and prairie falcon.

The diversity of grasses, forbs, and shrubs provide high nutrition levels for small and large herbivores including voles, mice, thirteen-lined ground squirrel, white-tailed jackrabbit, and deer. The higher stature of this plant community provides thermal, protective, and escape cover for herbivores and grassland birds. Predators utilizing this plant community include coyote, American badger, red fox, and long-tailed weasel. This plant community provides habitat for herptiles such as the spade foot toad, bull snake, and western rattlesnake.

Needle and Thread-Blue Grama (1.3): Resulting from continuous season-long grazing or continuous seasonal grazing without adequate recovery periods between grazing events; needle and thread and blue grama will dominate. The decrease in diversity of grasses,

forbs, and shrubs may result in less seed production or lower quality nutrition for small herbivores including voles, mice, and thirteen-lined ground squirrel. A shift to shorter plant structure will favor prairie dog expansion and associate species such as ferruginous hawk, burrowing owl, tiger salamander, and swift fox. Species such as horned lark, long-billed curlew, upland sandpiper, and white-tailed jackrabbit will increase due to the loss of the tall grass component. The short stature of this plant community limits thermal, protective, and escape cover. Predators utilizing this plant community include coyote, American badger, red fox, and long-tailed weasel.

Annual/Pioneer Perennial Plant Community (2.2): This plant community develops under severe disturbance and/or excessive defoliation. The dominant vegetation includes pioneer annual grasses, forbs, invaders, and early successional biennial and perennial species. Plant species from adjacent ecological sites may become minor components of this plant community. The community is susceptible to invasion of annual bromegrasses, bluegrass, and other non-native species due to severe soil disturbances and relatively high percent of bare ground.

Soil erosion is potentially high, impacting offsite aquatic habitats through increased runoff, nutrient, and sediment loads. Reduced surface cover, low plant density, low plant vigor, loss of root biomass, and soil compaction, all contribute to decreased wildlife abundance and diversity.

Since secondary succession is highly variable plant and wildlife species will vary. This plant community provides habitat for generalist or early successional species.

### **Grazing Interpretations**

The following list suggests annual, initial stocking rates for average growing conditions. These estimates are conservative and should be used only as guidelines in the initial stages of conservation planning. Commonly, the current plant composition does not entirely match any particular plant community (as described in this ecological site description). Therefore, a resource inventory is necessary to document plant composition and production. More accurate estimates of carrying capacity should eventually be calculated using the following stocking rate information along with animal preference data and actual stocking records, particularly when grazers other than cattle are involved. In consultation with the land manager, a more intensive grazing management program that results in improved harvest efficiencies and increased carrying capacity may be developed.

The following suggested initial stocking rates are based on 912 lb./acre (air-dry weight) per animal-unit-month (AUM) with a 25 percent harvest efficiency of preferred and desirable forage species (refer to USDA-NRCS, National Range and Pasture Handbook). An AUM is defined as the equivalent amount of forage required by a 1,000-pound cow, with or without calf, for one month.

Plant Community: Prairie Sandreed-Needle and Thread-Bluestem (1.1)

Average Production (lb/acre, air-dry): 1,900

Stocking Rate (AUM/acre): 0.52

Plant Community: Needle and Thread-Prairie Sandreed (1.2)

Average Production (lb/acre, air-dry): 1,400

Stocking Rate (AUM/acre): 0.38

Plant Community: Needle and Thread-Blue Grama (1.3)

Average Production (lb/acre, air-dry): 1,000

Stocking Rate (AUM/acre): 0.27

Plant Community: All other plant communities identified in this document have variable annual production values and require onsite sampling to determine initial stocking rates.

\* Total annual production and stocking rates are highly variable and require onsite sampling.

Total onsite annual production may contain vegetation deemed undesirable or untargeted by the grazing animal. Therefore, AUM values may need to be reduced to reflect only preferred or desirable forage species.

Grazing by domestic livestock is one of the major income-producing industries in the area. Rangeland in this area may provide yearlong forage for livestock. During the dormant period, the forage for livestock likely has insufficient protein to meet livestock requirements. Added protein allows ruminants to better utilize the energy stored in grazed plant materials. A forage quality test (either directly or through fecal sampling) should be used to determine the level of supplementation needed.

## **Hydrological functions**

Water is the principal factor limiting forage production on this site. This site is dominated by soils in hydrologic groups A. Infiltration and runoff potential for this site varies from moderate to high depending on soil hydrologic group, slope, and ground cover. In many cases, areas with greater than 75 percent ground cover have the greatest potential for high infiltration and lower runoff. An example of an exception would be where shortgrasses form a strong sod and dominate the site. Normally areas where ground cover is less than 50 percent have the greatest potential to have reduced infiltration and higher runoff. Refer to the USDA-NRCS National Engineering Handbook, Part 630, for hydrologic soil groups, runoff quantities, and hydrologic curves.

#### Recreational uses

This site provides opportunities for hunting upland game species. The wide variety of plants that bloom from spring until fall have aesthetic value that appeals to visitors.

## **Wood products**

No appreciable wood products are typically present on this site.

## Other products

Harvesting the seeds of native plants can provide additional income on this site.

#### Other information

Revision Notes: "Previously Approved" Provisional

This provisional ecological site description (ESD) has passed quality control (QC) and quality assurance (QA) to ensure it meets the 2014 NESH standards for a "Provisional" ecological site description.

This ecological site description (ESD) is an updated "Previously Approved" ESD that represented a first-generation tier of documentation that met all requirements as an "Approved" ESD as laid out in the 1997 National Range and Pasture Handbook (NRPH). The requirements for approved status changed with the release of the 2014 National Ecological Site Handbook (NESH). The previously approved document fully described the reference state and community phase in the state-and-transition model. All other alternative states were at least described in narrative form. The "Previously Approved" ESD has been field-tested for a minimum of five years and is a proven functional document for conservation planning. The "Previously Approved" ESD may not contain all tabular and narrative entries as required in the current "Approved" level of documentation, but it is expected this ESD will continue refinement toward the current "Approved" status.

### Site Development and Testing Plan

Future work, as described in an official project plan, is necessary to validate the information in this provisional ecological site description. The plan will include field activities for low-, medium-, and high-intensity sampling, soil correlations, and analysis of the data. Annual field reviews should be done by soil scientists and vegetation specialists. Final field review, peer review, quality control, and quality assurance reviews are required to produce the final document.

## Inventory data references

Information presented here has been derived from NRCS clipping data and other inventory data. Field observations from range-trained personnel were also used. Those involved in developing this site description include: Ryan Beer, Range Management Specialist (RMS), NRCS; Chuck Berdan, Biologist, Bureau of Land Management (BLM); Stan Boltz, RMS, NRCS; Dave Dewald, Wildlife BIO, NRCS; Mitch Faulkner, RMS, NRCS; Jody Forman, RMS, NRCS; Dennis Froemke, RMS, NRCS; Tom Juntti, BIO, United States Forest Service (USFS); Cheryl Nielsen, RMS, NRCS; Jeff Printz, RMS, NRCS; Mike Stirling, RMS, NRCS; Dan Svingen, BIO, USFS; Darrell Vanderbusch, Soil Scientist,

NRCS; Cindy Zachmeier, BIO, NRCS; and Tim Zachmeier, BIO, BLM.

There is 1 SCS-RANGE-417 collected in 2004 in Harding County, South Dakota.

#### Other references

Beck, J.L., J.W. Connelly, C.L. Wambolt. 2010. Consequences of treating Wyoming big sagebrush to enhance wildlife habitats; Rangeland Ecology and Management 65:444–455, September 2012

Cleland, D.T., J.A. Freeouf, J.E. Keys, G.J. Nowacki, C.A. Carpenter, and W.H McNab. 2007. Ecological subregions: Sections and subsections of the conterminous United States. USDA Forest Service, General Technical Report WO-76D. https://www.fs.fed.us/research/publications/misc/73326-wo-gtr-76d-cleland2007.pdf (accessed 31 January 2019).

Cooper, S.V., P. Lesica, G.M. Kudray. 2001. Post-fire recovery of Wyoming big sagebrush steppe in central and southeastern Montana; Natural Resources and Environmental Issues, Volume 16; Shrublands: Wildlands and Wildlife Habitats, Article 12.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

High Plains Regional Climate Center, University of Nebraska. 2018. http://www.hprcc.unl.edu/ (accessed 6 April 2018).

Innes, Robin J. 2019. Artemisia tridentata subsp. wyomingensis, Wyoming big sagebrush. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Missoula Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: https://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/shrub/arttriw/all.html (accessed 9 December 2019).

Larson, G.E. and J.R. Johnson. 1999. Plants of the Black Hills and Bear Lodge Mountains. South Dakota State University, College of Agriculture and Biological Sciences and Agriculture Experiment Station, Bulletin 732, Brookings, SD.

Toledo, D., M. Sanderson, K. Spaeth, J. Hendrickson, and J. Printz. 2014. Extent of Kentucky bluegrass and its effect on native plant species diversity and ecosystem services in the Northern Great Plains of the United States. Invasive Plant Science and Management. 7(4):543–522. Weed Science Society of America.

Soil Survey Staff. 2018. Official soil series descriptions. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/home/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053587 (accessed 20 December 2018).

Soil Survey Staff. 2018. Web Soil Survey. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx (accessed 20 December 2018).

- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 1997. National range and pasture handbook, rev. 1, 2003.
- https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/stelprdb1043055.pdf (accessed 7 January 2018).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. Agriculture Handbook 296.

https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2\_050898.pdf (accessed 17 January 2018).

- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2007. National engineering handbook, part 654. Rosgen Stream Classification Technique Supplemental Materials, Technical Supplement 3E.
- https://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/OpenNonWebContent.aspx?content=17833.wba (accessed 4 March 2019).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2012. National engineering handbook, part 630. Hydrology chapters from e-Directives. https://directives.sc.egov.usda.gov/viewerFS.aspx?hid=21422 (accessed 17 January 2018).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2014. National ecological site handbook, 1st ed.

https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ref/?cid=nrcseprd1291232 (accessed 27 January 2018).

- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2018. Climate data. National Water and Climate Center. http://www.wcc.nrcs.usda.gov/ (accessed 2 December 2018).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2018. Electronic field office technical guide. https://efotg.sc.egov.usda.gov (accessed 24 September 2018).
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2018. National Soil Information System, Information Technology Center. http://nasis.nrcs.usda.gov (accessed 25 May 2018.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2018. PLANTS database. National Plant Data Team, Greensboro, NC. http://plants.usda.gov (accessed 27 December 2018).

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2018. EPA level III and level IV ecoregions of the conterminous United States. https://www.epa.gov/eco-research/level-iii-and-iv-ecoregions-conterminous-united-states (accessed 26 April 2018).

#### **Contributors**

Stan Boltz
Travis Patient
Rick L. Peterson

## **Approval**

Suzanne Mayne-Kinney, 7/18/2024

## **Acknowledgments**

This ecological site description was updated by Rick L. Peterson on January 6, 2020.

The ESDs were available for QC review by Mark Hayek, Emily Helms, Ryan Beer, and Mitch Faulkner.

All ecological sites were then reviewed and approved at the Provisional Level by David Kraft, Regional ESS, Salina, KS in September 2020.

#### Non-Discrimination Statement

In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English.

To file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, available online at https://www.ascr.usda.gov/filing-program-discrimination-complaint-usda-customer and at any USDA office, or write a letter

addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by:

- (1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410;
- (2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or
- (3) email: program.intake@usda.gov.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Stan Boltz, Thad Berrett, Cheryl Nielsen
Contact for lead author	stanley.boltz@sd.usda.gov, 605-352-1236
Date	05/07/2010
Approved by	Suzanne Mayne-Kinney
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

### **Indicators**

1.	Number and extent of rills: None.
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: None.
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Bunchgrasses may be

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen,

pedestalled, but no exposed roots should be present.

).	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None should be present.					
i <b>.</b>	<b>Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:</b> Occasional areas associated with increased animal activity (e.g., rodent burrows, animal trailing) may exhibit small wind scoured areas, typically less than 10 feet in diameter.					
	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Litter should fall in place. Slight amount of movement of smallest size class litter is possible, but not normal.					
/-	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Some series on this site typically have little organic matter in the surface horizon, and the structure is single grain sand. Soil aggregate stability will be difficult to measure on these soils. Surface organic matter should still adhere to the so surface. Surface erosion by water rarely occurs due to rapid infiltration, but surface is susceptible to wind erosion if vegetative cover is reduced due to drought or heavy grazing. Biological crusts are often present (up to 10% of the surface) and serve to provide resistance to erosion. The dominant rhizomatous warm-season species are adapted to these coarse soils and when vigorous are vital in preventing erosion by wind.					
).	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): A-horizon should be 2 to 4 inches thick. Some soils (e.g., Zeona) have little organic matter in the A-horizon and dark grayish brown colors when moist, but possibly not mollic. Structure can be single grain to fine granular parting to single grain in the A-horizon.					

grasses) with fine and coarse roots positively influences infiltration. Infiltration is typically high

due to the coarse nature of these soils.

moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): 5 to 15 percent is typical.

11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None.				
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):				
	Dominant: Tall warm-season rhizomatous grasses >> Mid cool-season bunchgrasses >				
	Sub-dominant: Forbs > Shrubs >				
	Other: Mid warm-season bunchgrasses > Short warm-season grasses = Grass-likes				
	Additional:				
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Very little evidence of decadence or mortality. Bunch grasses have strong, healthy centers and shrubs are vigorous.				
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in):				
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): Production ranges from 1,200-2,600 lbs./acre (air-dry weight). Reference value production is 1,900 lbs./acre (air-dry weight).				
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment				

and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that

what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: State and local

noxious weeds

become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing

Perennial plant reproductive capability: All species exhibit high vigor relative to climati							
conditions. Do not rate based solely on seed production. Perennial grasses should have vigorous rhizomes or tillers.							