

Ecological site R046XN262MT Wet Meadow (WM) RRU 46-N 15-19 PZ

Last updated: 7/19/2023 Accessed: 05/21/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	J. Siddoway, R. Bandy, G. Petersen
Contact for lead author	grant.petersen@usda.gov
Date	04/19/2005
Approved by	Kirt Walstad
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1.	Number and extent of rills: Slopes on this site are between $0 - 1\%$ and with 100% of the	е
	soil surface well-covered there are no rills even with the most extreme convection storms.	

- 2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Due to the soil surface being well covered and minimal slope there is no evidence of past or current soil deposition or erosion for this site.
- 3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Wind and water erosion will not be evident on this site, so pedestals and terracettes will not be present.

4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): There should be no bare ground on this site.
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: Gully erosion will not be evident on this site.
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: Appearance or evidence of these erosional features on the landscape would not be present on this site.
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Because there is no bare ground, litter movement will be minimal at most. Because the site is dominated by taller sedges and rhizomatous grasses, litter size will reflect the height and diameter of the reproductive culms and leaves of these grasses and sedges.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Resistance to erosion will be high with soil stability values of 6.
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Soil surface structure of the A-horizon is granular; O-horizon thickness is at least 1.0 to 4.0 inches.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Due to the dominance of taller, deep rooted bunchgrasses and sedges and the topographic position of this site on the landscape there will not be any runoff. Because the soil profile is saturated throughout the year, infiltration is not an issue – the site is dominated by hydrophytic vegetation.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile

	features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Will not be present.
12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Cool season rhizomatous grasses (reedgrasses, mannagrasses)
	Sub-dominant: cool season sedges (Northwest Territory sedge, water sedge, Nebraska) >> cool season grasses (tufted hairgrass) > rush spp. = small sedges (gray sedge) = shrubs = perennial forbs
	Other:
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Will be low for all functional groups in a given year.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Litter cover is 40 to 50 percent and can be up to 3 inches deep.
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): 6100 - 6700 #/acre. This would be the expected production for the reference state during adequate moisture years. 6500 pounds would be the expected production in a 17 inch precipitation zone.
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought

or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing

what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Baltic rush,

spikerushes, Potentilla spp., redtop, reed canarygrass, quackgrass, meadow barley, foxtail
barley, Kentucky/Canada bluegrass, dandelion, curly dock, purple loosestrife, other weedy
grasses and forbs.

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** During most years bunchgrasses, rhizomatous grasses and sedges will generally produce seeds.