

Ecological site R044AH004MT

Montane Rich to Intermediate Basin Plate Type Fen Seeley, Swan, Flathead and Tobacco Valleys

Last updated: 3/11/2025
Accessed: 05/21/2025

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 044A–Northern Rocky Mountain Valleys

This ecological site currently resides in the Major Land Resource Area (MLRA) 44A Northern Rocky Mountain Valleys. The area of MLRA 44A is huge and is in the process of being restructured into a new MLRAs further divided into new Land Resource Units (LRU). A detailed description of MLRA 43A can be found at:

https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ref/?cid=nrcs142p2_053624

LRU notes

This LRU includes the Flathead Valleys, with the predominant landscape as valleys with landforms including floodplains, stream terraces, outwash, lacustrine terraces, foothills, glacial moraines. The estimated acres are 1,412,271 and it is primarily private lands. Land use is development and agriculture. Climatically, this LRU has a cryic/frigid soil temperature regime and a xeric/udic soil moisture regime. It has a mean annual air temperature of 6, mean frost free days of 94 and mean annual precipitation of 590 and REAP of 58. Elevations range 751-1835m. Vegetation is predominantly Douglas Fir-Ponderosa Pine-Lodgepole Pine Forest and Woodland. Minor Engelmann Spruce-Subalpine Fir, open water, developed areas and agriculture. Trace Western Redcedar and Western Hemlock and Grand Fir. The geology is predominantly fluvial and bedform topography related to Cordilleran glaciation. Rock types are dominantly metasedimentary of the Belt Supergroup (Ravalli group) with some Tertiary sediments, eolian deposits, open water, Glacial lake deposits. The soils are dominantly very deep well developed soils formed in alluvium, lacustrine deposits, glacial outwash and till from metasedimentary parent materials. These tend to be well drained, neutral to moderately alkaline soils with

both skeletal and non-skeletal sandy loam, loam and clay loam textures. Poorly drained soils are present as well but are generally confined to areas along riparian corridors. Volcanic ash influenced soils occur here as well, but tend to be limited to stable footslope positions marginal to the valley floor.

This is related to the EPA land classification framework of: Level 3 the Northern Rockies and includes numerous Level 4 including: Stillwater-Swan Wooded Valley, Tobacco Plains, Flathead Valley, a small part of the Western Canadian Rockies (Level 3 is Canadian Rockies) and a small part of the rattlesnake-Blackfoot-south Swan-Northern Garnet-Sapphire Mountains and the Foothill Potholes (both in the Middle Rockies Level 3 subdivision).

This area is related predominantly to the USFS Provinces: Predominantly resides in the northern portion in M333Bc (Flathead River Valley), the middle portion of in M333Cb (Canadian Rockies-Whitefish-Swan Mountains) and the southern portion in M332Bp (Avon-Nevada Valleys).

Classification relationships

Associated Classification Systems

NPS Plant Community Name:

Carex utriculata Herbaceous Vegetation (CEGL001810)

NVC Classification

Physiognomic Class Herbaceous Vegetation (V)

Physiognomic Subclass Perennial graminoid vegetation (V.A.)

Physiognomic Group Temperate or subpolar grassland (V.A.5.)

Physiognomic Subgroup Natural/Semi-natural temperate or subpolar grassland (V.A.5.N.)

Formation Seasonally flooded temperate or subpolar grassland (V.A.5.N.k.)

Alliance *Carex lasiocarpa* Seasonally Flooded Herbaceous Alliance (A. 1415)

Alliance (English name) Wiregrass Sedge Seasonally Flooded Herbaceous Vegetation

Association *Carex lasiocarpa* Herbaceous Vegetation

Association (English name) Wiregrass Sedge Herbaceous Vegetation

Ecological site concept

Ecological Site Concept

- Site receives additional effective moisture
- Site is found in depressional areas, such as lakes, ponds and kettleholes within larger valley landforms
- Vegetation is dominated by sedges that are designated obligate wetland species
- Seasonal high water table is within 40" of the soil surface
- Soil is
 - o not saline or sodic
 - o is organic (organic surface is at least 8 " thick)

Associated sites

R044AH003MT	Wet Meadow Seeley, Swan, Flathead and Tobacco Valleys The Basin Type Fen ecological site is associated with the Wet Meadow ecological site within the same riparian complex area.
F044AH002MT	Montane Wet Cool Coniferous Seeley, Swan, Flathead and Tobacco Valleys The fen ecological site is associated with the forested Engelmann spruce site.
R044AH005MT	Montane Intermediate Flow Through Type Fen Seeley, Swan, Flathead and Tobacco Valleys The basin fen can be associated with the sloping fen ecological sites.

Similar sites

R044AH005MT	Montane Intermediate Flow Through Type Fen Seeley, Swan, Flathead and Tobacco Valleys This ecological site is similar to the Basin Fen site in that it has high organic material and has underground hydrology. It differs from this site in that it is on a slope, however slight compared to the Basin Fen which has very little if any slope.
-------------	--

Table 1. Dominant plant species

Tree	Not specified
Shrub	Not specified
Herbaceous	(1) <i>Carex lasiocarpa</i> (2) <i>Carex buxbaumii</i>

Physiographic features

These plant communities are found in depressional areas, such as lakes, ponds and kettleholes within larger valley landforms with high water tables and accumulations of undecomposed or partially decomposed organic matter. These are associated with closed basins. There are two types of basin fens: the plate and the bowl or floating mat types. The process by which a peatland forms is called terrestrialization as the peat extends across the water body. The plate type has the peat across the entire water body. The bowl type does not have the peat across the entire water body. These can have a floating mat which is sometimes grounded. Due to its depressional nature, it has a somewhat greater snow-holding capacity than surrounding flat or sloping positions. This community is dominated by species that are designated wetland obligates and the site is saturated with a high water table for nearly the entire year. It may have brief, frequent ponding and overland sheet flow of water. Soils typical of fens are very poorly drained, very deep and have a water table at or near the surface for much of the year, except during summer months when evapotranspiration demands are greatest.



Figure 1.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

Landforms	(1) Valley > Fen (2) Valley > Closed depression
Ponding duration	Very long (more than 30 days)
Ponding frequency	Frequent
Elevation	3,280–4,265 ft
Slope	0–2%
Water table depth	0–10 in
Aspect	W, NW, N, NE, E, SE, S, SW

Climatic features

AQUIC/FRIGID
 Mean Annual Precipitation = 20 inches
 Mean Annual Air Temperature = 33-56 degrees Fahrenheit
 Frost Free Days = 90-120 days

Table 3. Representative climatic features

Frost-free period (characteristic range)	61-90 days
Freeze-free period (characteristic range)	111-132 days
Precipitation total (characteristic range)	16-21 in
Frost-free period (actual range)	23-94 days
Freeze-free period (actual range)	93-133 days

Precipitation total (actual range)	15-22 in
Frost-free period (average)	71 days
Freeze-free period (average)	119 days
Precipitation total (average)	18 in




Figure 2. Monthly precipitation range




Figure 3. Monthly minimum temperature range




Figure 4. Monthly maximum temperature range




Figure 5. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature




Figure 6. Annual precipitation pattern




Figure 7. Annual average temperature pattern

Climate stations used

- (1) EUREKA RS [USC00242827], Eureka, MT
- (2) FORTINE 1 N [USC00243139], Eureka, MT
- (3) OLNEY [USC00246218], Whitefish, MT
- (4) WHITEFISH [USC00248902], Whitefish, MT
- (5) KALISPELL 9 NNE [USC00244560], Kalispell, MT
- (6) CRESTON [USC00242104], Kalispell, MT

Influencing water features

Fens are described as minerotrophic environments, which means they receive significant inputs of water and dissolved solids from runoff from surrounding mineral soil areas or ground water discharge. Fens have groundwater within the rooting zone of vascular plants and are perennially saturated, but may have local areas of surface drying during hot summers or drought. Landform patterns are usually depressional relative to the surrounding uplands. Primary water sources supplying a fen are ground water return flow and interflow from surrounding uplands as well as direct precipitation. Hydrologically, fens are dominated by a slow downslope unidirectional water flow. Fens can occur on nearly flat landscapes if ground water discharge is a dominant source to the wetland surface. They lose water primarily by saturation subsurface and surface flows and by evapotranspiration. Channels may develop but serve only to convey water away from the wetland when the rate of water transmission is highest. Fens described in this ESD are considered “basin” meaning they are closed basins, round in nature, formed from ponds, lakes or kettleholes. They are nearly flat. Fens are commonly described as being poor, rich or extremely rich based on the pH of the water within them and other factors (Chadde, 1988). These fens are considered of the “Rich” and “Intermediate” types with moderate pH of 6.0 to 7.3 for the entire soil profile using field measurement techniques. Determinations of pH for these soils will be further elucidated with laboratory sampling in the future. These “rich” fens are compared to “Poor” fens with surface pH less than 5.4 and “extremely rich fens” with surface pH greater than 7.5. These fens have lower to intermediate moss cover, dense and productive vascular plant cover and intermediate calcium concentration. The

predominant substrate is derived primarily from metasedimentary argillite, quartzite and limestone.

Wetland Description

Cowardin System Palustrine/Emergent Persistent
HGM (Hydrogeomorphic) Basin

Wetland description

Wetland Description

Cowardin System Palustrine/Emergent Persistent
HGM (Hydrogeomorphic) Basin



Figure 8. water table of a representative soil of the basin type fen.

Soil features

Soils typical of fens are very poorly to poorly drained, very deep and have a water table at or near the surface for much year, except during summer months when evapotranspiration demands are greatest. Soils have a mantle of decomposing organic material (defined for this site as being equal to or above 8 inches or 20 cm). Sites in this ecological site have organic materials ranging from 40 to 190 cm thick. Soil parent materials are generally moderately decomposed organic material over recent alluvium. The predominant substrate is derived primarily from metasedimentary argillite, quartzite and limestone. Under seasonally high water tables and cool temperatures, plant material decomposition slows appreciably, allowing for an accumulation of organic material. This deep, accumulated organic material, although defined more specifically within soil science based on the amount of decomposition is colloquially referred to as peat with corresponding reference to these areas as peatlands. All soils are classified within the Histosols soil order, being further divided into different types of Histosols by the relative decomposition of organic plant fiber soil material. Sapric soil materials are the most highly

decomposed (smallest amount of visible plant fibers after rubbing), Hemic soil materials are moderately decomposed and Fibric soil materials are the most fibrous and are only slightly decomposed. Soils sampled for this ecological site are specifically Haplohemists. Associated soils that are capped with organic material of lesser thickness may classify as Endoaquepts in the Inceptisols soil order with a Histic epipedon or organic surface layer. These soils often occur in marginal areas of larger fens or in very small fens that have not accumulated the greater thickness of organic material needed to be classified as Histosols. Vegetation on fens must tolerate saturation and periodic ponding from sheet flow. Soils on these sites are described as being hydric soils and have redoximorphic features from changes in soil saturation, which causes oxidation and/or reduction of iron primarily, but also manganese in the soil. The reduced ion of iron is highly mobile within the soil and some soil layers that undergo prolonged saturation will have a gleyed (blue gray or greenish gray) coloration due to the almost complete removal of iron from that layer. Soils in the Histic Endoaquepts subgroup have diagnostic features including a histic epipedon, endosaturation, fibric, hemic, or sapric soil materials, cambic horizon and reduced matrix. Soils defined as Histosols may have the following diagnostic features: a histic epipedon composed of either fibric, hemic, or sapric soil materials, endosaturation, sapric soil materials at depth and reduced matrix. (Soil Survey Staff, 2015). For more information on soil taxonomy, please follow this link: http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/survey/class/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580



Figure 9.

Table 4. Representative soil features

Parent material	(1) Organic material–metasedimentary rock
Surface texture	(1) Peaty loam
Family particle size	(1) Fine-loamy

Drainage class	Very poorly drained
Permeability class	Moderate
Soil depth	60–100 in
Surface fragment cover <=3"	0%
Surface fragment cover >3"	0%
Available water capacity (6.1-10.1in)	Not specified
Soil reaction (1:1 water) (6-7in)	Not specified

Ecological dynamics

Overview

These wetland communities occur in peatlands dominated by all wetland obligate species meaning that they almost always occur (estimated probability greater than 99 percent) under natural conditions in wetlands. These occur on depressions within meadows in valley or glacial basins near sloping uplands or ground moraines. They are typically surrounded by forested areas. These areas have been kept free of upland shrub and conifer species establishment through saturated soils that are generally intolerable to shrub species and conifers of the surrounding areas. Soils are saturated from ground water discharge, sheet flow and periodic ponding. The melt out of snow in spring produces ponding of cold water that, with cold soil temperatures lowers the decomposition rate of plant material leading to accumulation of peat (organic material mantle). Typically, the native plant species within the reference phase are all obligate wetland carex species that have high foliar cover, and are very productive. In other areas with slightly less saturated soils, carr type (shrubby) fens occur which are dominated by wetland affiliated shrubs *Betula nana* and or *Salix drummondiana* with an understory of carex and juncus species. This ecological site spans the ranges of types (rich to intermediate to poor types) of basin fens which are based on proportion of sphagnum to brown moss cover, productivity and species of vascular plants and acidity of the water and soil. The foliar canopy cover is high, and the community is very productive in the rich type and is dominated by brown mosses and is more likely basic. The poor type is less productive, with lower foliar cover and is dominated by sphagnum mosses and is more likely acidic. Intermediate type fens span between these two opposite types. Fens described in this ecological site are intermediate to rich types of the plate basin fen.

Disturbance Dynamics

Dynamics within State 1:

Disturbance dynamics within the natural functioning state include: fire, beaver dams, low precipitation or snowpack years and ungulate grazing. These disturbances are viewed as potentially changing the plant population composition to a minor extent from one phase to another within State 1 but with the ability to return to any phases with time after disturbance. These disturbances affect hydrology which is critically important to this site.

Moderate disturbance will increase baltic rush (*Juncus balticus*) and associated forbs (Hansen, 1992), while severe disturbance may lower the water table and cause the site to be dominated by species such as Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*), fowl bluegrass (*Poa palustris*), common silverweed (*Potentilla anserina*) or redtop (*Agrostis stolonifera*) (Hansen, 1992). Moderately disturbed sites will improve rapidly with protection due to the rhizomatous nature of many sedge species that occupy this site. This site is flooded long enough to provide nesting habitat for waterfowl but has limited use by songbirds and small mammals because of the lack of diversity and flooded soils. It is an important habitat for raptors, deer, and elk. Beaver dams assist in controlling the downcutting of channels, bank erosion, and the movement of sediment downstream (Gordon and others 1992). When beaver construct a dam, they raise the water table in the area, which provides water for hydrophytic plants. The beaver dam also slows down the water in the channel, which allows suspended sediment to be deposited behind the dam. The combination of sediment deposition and plant reproduction raises the channel bed (Hansen, 1992).

Fire can occur, though rarely and only in dry years within fens. These fires would eliminate conifer and shrub encroachment on the site and maintain the reference phase community dominated by sedges. Typically, fires would only top burn the current year's growth of the sedges. *Carex lasiocarpa* and *Carex utriculata* are resistant to damage by fire through their extensive root system and resprouting capabilities, except where hot fires penetrate the peat soil. As well, *Carex utriculata* can be in the soil seed bank up to 18 inches deep, so it may establish from on-site seed after fire. Fire in fens is highly dependent upon the surrounding vegetation type carrying the fire to these saturated sites. Within the Seely-Swan Ranger District of the Flathead National Forest, the subalpine fir/queencup beadlelily ecological site typically surrounds these fens, which has low occurrence but high severity fire regime and has a fire free interval of approximately 130 years. Generally, these fens are so saturated that fires will move around these low-lying areas and stay within the conifer community. Low or mixed severity fires only account for 32 percent of the fires and have a mean interval of 750 years in the Northwest (USDA, USFS, Fire Effects Information System, Fire Regimes). High severity fires that occur during severe drought years, account for 68 percent of the fires occurring and have a mean interval of 350 years (USDA, USFS, Fire Effects Information System, Fire Regimes). So, fires are rare within this site, but would serve to limit conifer and shrub encroachment. If a severe fire would occur within a fen and destroy the rhizomes of the sedges, then a threshold would be crossed, and major species composition could occur. Fire in surrounding forested communities could also impact the hydrology of the fen and cause increased runoff, and potentially groundwater flow, by decreasing live trees upslope and potentially change the species composition of the fen (Steve Shelly, personal communication, 2015). As well, extensive epidemics of forest disease or pests that lead to large scale death of trees could attain the same result. Two sites were on the boundary of major fires on the west side of the continental divide (Robert fire in 2003 burned 54,191 acres and the Moose fire in 2001 burned 66,688 acres). However, the sites did not have evidence of burning.

Beaver damming, within the areas that do have some water flow in channels, can lead to changes in plant species composition by flooding one area and concomitantly drying another. These are viewed as naturally functioning disturbances that would lead to ponding and inundation of an area and therefore drying of another area leading to

potentially shrub invasion and if long enough, conifer invasion to a small scale. Presence of beaver damming would potentially lead to a mosaic effect on the plant communities potentially as opposed to the typical saturated fen with sedge vegetation within a larger landscape of conifer dominated environment or a less saturated carr type of fen with *Betula nana* or *Salix* species.

Low precipitation or drought years that impact precipitation and snow melt could potentially cause change in plant species composition by allowing shrubs to invade the site. Less precipitation and snow melt would decrease current year growth of the dominant sedge species at the reference phase, and allow drier site shrubs to potentially invade, but would not cause a state change since a resumption of normal precipitation would generally lead to resumed saturated conditions that preclude shrub encroachment. A severe drought that would adversely affect the sedge community and allow the establishment of dry site species would have crossed a threshold and cause a state change.

Ungulate grazing would be considered within the range of normal functioning disturbances that may change some species composition within State 1 if it were light grazing, seasonal or rotational, did not lead to extensive trailing, wallowing or cut banking of flow through channels. Ungulate hoof action can break up the rhizomatous sod, which can lead to unvegetated microsites conducive to shrub encroachment; in addition, pugging and hummocking can create some surface flow patterns which may accelerate water loss from the peatland. Urine and feces can change nutrient concentration as well. If the ungulate use is low, then it is viewed as normally functioning. *Carex utriculata* is considered moderately tolerant of grazing, though it may be replaced by other species when heavily grazed over extended periods. The saturated soils likely are susceptible to trampling and compaction though high-water tables throughout the growing season tend to limit access by livestock and thereby reduce impacts. If the ungulate use is high, concentrated or during sensitive periods of plant growth, the impact can be severe and cause a state change by affecting soil compaction, water loss through trailing, pugging, hummocking changing surface flow patterns, severe changes in nutrient concentration through very high levels of urine and feces as well. These disturbances also could be exacerbated by drought or other disturbances higher upslope in the watershed that affect groundwater discharge and surface sheet flow.

Large scale timber harvesting upslope or higher in the adjacent watershed to the fen can cause a state change to occur. If there were a large, extensive clear-cut that caused abrupt changes in the hydrology upslope of the fen and affect groundwater or surface flows these would cross a threshold and cause either a state change to dry site species, or an increase in run-in to the peatland since the removal of trees reduces the water uptake. In addition, loss of shading by adjacent conifers may likely be beneficial to the peatlands as most of the species are shade-intolerant. Very small scale timber harvesting or that was not clear-cut prescription, would not cause a threshold to be crossed and a state change to occur. This dry site species community could be more at risk to weed species invasion including timothy (*Phleum pratense*), Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*) or reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*). Overstocked surrounding forests can potentially alter the water balance of fens by taking up more water in the trees than a non-overstocked forest.

Road building upslope or higher in the watershed from a fen could cause a threshold to be

crossed and a state change to occur if it impacted surface flow to a degree that most of the upslope water is diverted away from the lower fen. Less groundwater or surface flow would cause drying of the site leading to dry site species invading and possibly weedy species as well. Water well establishment in the vicinity or upslope of the fen could cause less groundwater available to maintain saturated soils for the site and could cause a threshold to be crossed and a state change to dry site species to occur. As well, any ditching or draining of fen would cause a threshold to be crossed in the normal functioning hydrology of the fen and a state change to dry site species would occur. This site would be more vulnerable to weedy species invasion. Peat mining could also cause a threshold to be crossed if it greatly impacted the hydrology or was extensive enough that the sedges were unable to recolonize the impacted areas. Point source pollution that degraded the plant community functioning, vigor or changed the hydrology or nutrient concentration significantly could cause a threshold to be crossed and a state change to occur.

Dynamics that cause a State change:

Three major disturbances that can occur in this ecological site that could cross a threshold triggering a state change would include a significantly altered hydrologic regime and invasion by reed canary grass. If the hydrologic regime has been severely altered and causes a significant reduction in water flow into a fen, then a state change can occur causing dry site or upland species to invade and succeed in the fen. Once this threshold has been crossed significant human input is required to revert the site to its natural hydrologic regime and native vegetation. Dry site or upland species are not dependent on a hydrologic regime and would outcompete the native wetland obligate sedge species. Once upland species have outcompeted and dominated the vegetative community, associated upland weed species can also thrive given adequate propagules.

A weed species of critical importance to this ecological site is the escaped cultivar of reed canary grass. This cultivar is exceeding competitive against the native community with the addition of nutrients from agricultural run-off. Therefore, a review of scientific literature on reed canary grass and other upland weed species follows.

A fen can be converted to a plowed field of either pasture or cropland grass species after the fen has had its hydrologic regime severely altered through ditching and or draining.

Effects of land management practices on ecological dynamics and invasive species invasion theory

Invasion of weedy species into native vegetation communities requires an understanding of the processes and mechanisms by which an invasion occurs. Resistance and resilience of the native community are essential elements in predicting the success of the invasion. There are two counter point theories on invasive species. The driver theory considers the invasive species to be driving species decline while the passenger model sees the invading species as filling in empty niches left by habitat alteration (Didham, 2005). The passenger model suggests that disturbance is the cause and if stopped, invasion can be reversed. Potential mechanisms of invasion include theories such as novel weapons, enemy release, competitive superiority, and manipulation of environment. Novel weapons include biological weapons or associations with micro-organisms that allow the invader species to either access new resources or steal them from indigenous plants (Tannas,

2011). Specifically, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi may provide a substantial competitive advantage to spotted knapweed by carbon parasitism (Carey, 2004). In these cases, the invader uses these weapons to drive the invasion process. Enemy release describes the concept that once invader species are released from their native predator species or chemical warfare within their original community, they are more aggressive in their new community (Blumenthal 2006, Callaway and Aschelhoug 2000). The invader species may have characteristics that allow it to be more competitive than resident plant species such as grazing resistance, adaption to a harsh environment or another competitive ability (Tannas, 2011). Invading species can manipulate the environment to their advantage through resource competition. Mechanisms include modifying light interception, water uptake efficiency or change in soil water holding capacity, nutrient uptake and cycling (D'Antonio and Vitousek, 1992). The final outcome of invasion is establishment of the invading species which occurs as either dominance, coexistence, or exclusion from the indigenous plant community (Seabloom, 2003). D'Antonio and Vitousek (1992) stated grass invasions are particularly important because they are actively moved by humans and exotic grasses compete effectively with native species in many ecosystems. In addition, dominant grasses may change nutrient cycling, modify regional microclimates and alter fire dynamics.

Wetland Designations

Weedy species can be divided into categories based on affinity to wetlands through the Wetland Indicator Status by the 1988 US Fish and Wildlife Service's national list of plant species that occur in wetlands.

Species categorized as obligate (OBL) are hydrophytes that almost always occur in wetlands. The sedges within the reference community of this ecological site are within this designation.

Species categorized as facultative wetland (FACW) are hydrophytes that usually occur in wetlands, but may occur in non-wetlands. Reed canarygrass is in this designation and may occur in this ecological site.

Species categorized as facultative (FAC) are hydrophytes that occur in wetlands and non-wetlands. Weedy species found in this ecological site that are FAC include: quackgrass, redtop, timothy, creeping meadow foxtail, Kentucky bluegrass and Canada thistle. Canada thistle is on the Montana state noxious weeds list.

Species categorized as facultative upland (FACU) are non-hydrophytes that usually occur in non-wetlands, but may occur in wetlands. Weedy species found in this ecological site that are FACU include: orchardgrass and dandelion.

Weed species designated facultative wetland:

The following weedy cultivar species is found within State 1 in lower amounts and as a dominant species in State 3.

Wetlands have a higher percentage of weed invasions compared to other vegetation communities. As a whole, wetlands serve as sinks for nutrients, water and sediments (Zedler, 2004). These abiotic attributes as well as changes from disturbance that affect hydrology, vegetation canopy gaps, soil structure exacerbate invasions, particularly of aggressive, weedy invaders that form monospecific stands. A particularly aggressive wetland invasive plant is *Phalaris arundinacea* (Phalaris). Phalaris is native to North America and can grow in a non-invasive way. Numerous introductions of the European

population of *Phalaris* has potentially caused these two to hybridize and become invasive (Lavergne and Molofsky, 2004). The invasive form is likely an admixture of these populations. *Phalaris* is a C3 type, cool season, 1 to 2 meter tall, long-lived perennial that produces dense crowns and a prominent network of rhizomes (Hitchcock, 1950; Lavergne and Molofsky, 2007). *Phalaris* can establish early and quickly in wetlands due to its early season growth, clonal growth form, morphological plasticity, high architectural plasticity, ability to quickly assimilate nutrients and increase aboveground growth substantially, adapt to various flooding regimes and even drought (Gebauer et al 2015; Lavergne and Molofsky, 2004; Herr-Turoff and Zeller, 2007; Green and Galatowitsch, 2001; Kercher and Zedler, 2004). *Phalaris* can establish through its substantially numerous outcrossed seeds, in a yearly seed crop. It can also reproduce vegetatively by propagation of vigorous rhizomes and tillers. It can establish in the early growing season and thereby attaining larger size and height in comparison to other plant species and be able to outcompete for light, thereby shading competitors (Herr-Turoff, 2007). This is also enhanced by its rapid stem elongation. Kercher (2007) concluded that *Phalaris* invasion can be summarized as a three step process. Initially, resident native species decrease with prolonged flooding and sediment additions. These factors along with increased nutrients, accelerated *Phalaris* aboveground growth and led to a monospecific stand. Finally, native species further declined with an increase in *Phalaris*. Disturbances exacerbate this process. Resident native plant communities in areas with minimal disturbance can remain dense and vigorous. Therefore, when anthropogenic disturbances coincide with an increase in gross supply of resources, the more tolerant and fast growing and morphologically plastic plants like *Phalaris* can invade rapidly. Once established, *Phalaris* can grow as a sward in lower water conditions (intermittent and early season flooding), or as a tussock in higher water conditions (constant flooding) (Herr-Turoff, 2007). Early season flooding increased the lateral spread of individual shoots of *Phalaris* causing a sward. In the tussock growth form, *Phalaris* tolerated longer durations of flooding and more than doubled its aboveground biomass. *Phalaris* has the highest level of root airspace when compared with numerous other wetland plant species (Kercher and Zedler, 2004). Thereby, it can tolerate numerous flooding regimes. It can change the ratio of shoot to root growth, depending on environmental conditions. In high nutrient conditions, *Phalaris* is able to absorb and use nutrients quickly to accelerate shoot versus root growth. The greater plasticity in root / shoot allocation with changes in nitrate-Nitrogen additions and proportional allocation to root biomass that was greater than the native community are factors that account for the dominance of *Phalaris* (Green 2001). It can also increase tiller length and angle of branching to daughter tillers (Martina, 2013). *Phalaris* produced significantly more tillers that were more widely dispersed in saturated conditions. Increased soil nitrogen did not affect the spatial pattern of tillers, but did affect biomass production, shoot to root ratio and the biomass per tiller. This allows it to spread quickly throughout an area. *Phalaris* also has a plastic response to carbon gain to nitrogen supply (Holaday 2015). When nitrogen is high, *Phalaris* strongly increases CO₂ assimilation, photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency and respiration. When it is deprived of nitrogen, *Phalaris* has a decrease in leaf nitrogen but not vacuolar membrane nitrate (while both decreased for native species). *Phalaris* doubled CO₂ assimilation in 12 days after being supplied nitrogen after being deprived. This plasticity was not absorbed to this

degree in other wetland native plants. Therefore, *Phalaris* can quickly respond to changes in carbon and nitrogen. Additions of nutrients into natural wetlands can be a problem in urban and agricultural areas. *Phalaris* produces a dense, high carbon to nitrogen litter layer that impedes the germination and growth of competitor plant species (Molofsky et al 2014). Along streams, *Phalaris* can increase sediment deposition and reduce water circulation through dense stands that grow into the stream channel (Werner and Zedler, 2002). *Phalaris* can also tolerate drought conditions. In dry soil, it has significantly higher growth and fecundity versus in saturated soil conditions.

Numerous control strategies have been developed for *Phalaris*. The successful strategies include adapting restoration to a landscape scale size and using physical and chemical control coupled with hydrological management and subsequent restoration on native community structure and composition (Lavergne and Molofsky, 2007).

Weed species categorized as facultative species:

The following weed species can occur in the natural hydrologically intact State 1 community of wetland obligate sedge community or in the severely altered hydrology community in State 2. These weeds are able to thrive in both wetland and non-wetland environments.

Quackgrass (*Elymus repens*) is an undesirable weed species. It is a cool season, exotic, perennial, rhizomatous graminoid. It propagates mainly by rhizomes, but also from seed. It is adapted to certain seasonal fires because of its rhizomes. It is best eradicated by a combination of mowing, burning and chemical applications.

Redtop (*Agrostis gigantea*) and creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis stolonifera*) are both invasive weedy species. They often hybridize and therefore are treated the same here. It is native to Europe and was introduced as a pasture grass. It is perennial, rhizomatous, cool season, sod forming grass with either erect or decumbent stems. It regenerates vegetatively and by seed and spreads rapidly with strong rhizomes. It tolerates some flooding but is not tolerant of drought. It is fairly resilient to fire because of its rhizomes and buried seed. Fire does top kill redtop.

Timothy (*Phleum pratense*) is an introduced (from Eurasia), cool season, perennial bunchgrass that grows to 20 to 40 inches tall. It can grow on a variety of habitats but is best suited to well drained moist clay or loam soils. It was cultivated for hay and pasture use. It is palatable and nutritious forage for cattle and big game. It is generally short-lived (4 to 5 years) but can live up to 6 to 7 years. It has a moderately shallow and fibrous root system which can extend to 48 inches into the ground. Timothy is non-rhizomatous and forms vesicular-arbuscular endomycorrhizal associations. It reproduces mainly from seed and vegetatively by tillering. It is a prolific seed producer. Timothy and Kentucky bluegrass are of great concern since they establish quickly, spread vigorously and usually escape early detection. Control should include elimination and simultaneous introduction of desirable competitor native species. Timothy is well adapted to fire since fire stimulates production of reproductive tillers. Although it can be harmed if burned when actively growing in the spring and summer. It is fairly tolerant to fire when dormant.

The weedy species creeping meadow foxtail, *Alopecurus arundinacea* has numerous cultivars. The cultivar "Garrison" was released by the NRCS in 1964. It is from Eurasia and is an aggressive invader of wetland areas. It came to NA from settlers from eastern

Germany and western Russia. It is a perennial grass, 3 to 6 feet tall, fairly vigorous rhizome, with a very large root system. It can grow 4 feet of crown diameter growth per year. Therefore, it is grazing tolerant. As well it is tolerant of a wide range of pHs and high levels of nitrogen and moderate salt levels, very flood tolerant and fairly drought tolerant. It is adapted to cold temperatures and is extremely winter hardy. It has early spring growth and grows quickly and can outcompete native vegetation. It proliferates by wind and water born seed. It can grow in many soil types even sand, clay, peat, and muck.

Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*) is an introduced, perennial, and short to medium tall, cool-season, sod forming grass. It is shallow rooted and is intolerant to drought. It is found in moist sites in areas with cool and humid climates or riparian areas. Kentucky bluegrass is a vigorous herbaceous competitor with rhizome expansion, abundant seed, good seedling recruitment and quick establishment on disturbed sites. It is well adapted to meadows which have seasonally high water tables and mid-summer drought. It is naturalized and can dominate meadows, particularly ones that were once dominated by tufted hairgrass (*Deschampsia cespitosa*) and sedges. It is highly palatable to cattle. The rhizomes of Kentucky bluegrass help it survive quick moving fires, though postfire vigor and density are greatly affected. The most damaging fires occur in late spring after Kentucky bluegrass has been growing at least a month. Cool fires conducted when plants are dormant have little effect.

Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*) is a perennial, introduced (from SE Europe) forb with creeping horizontal lateral roots with dense clonal growth and a dioecious habit. Canada thistle has deep and wide spreading root system with a slender taproot and far-creeping lateral roots. It reproduces sexually by seed (this is the long distance dispersal strategy) and vegetatively by creeping roots (local spread strategy). It is adapted to survive fire on site with its root system and colonize recently burned sites with bare soil by seed. It has invaded nearly every type of upland herbaceous vegetation community, particularly prairie and riparian areas. Montana State listed Noxious Weeds List.


Weed species designated facultative upland species:

This weed species is found primarily in upland environments found in State 2.


Orchardgrass (*Dactylis glomerata*) is an undesirable weed species. It was introduced to eastern US from Europe in 1760. It is a cool season, perennial bunchgrass, which is nonrhizomatous but does produce a dense sod of medium sized roots. It reproduces largely by seed and tiller formation. It is reported to increase or remain stable after fire. It does not withstand continuous heavy forage use by cattle.

State and transition model

Ecosystem states




State 1 submodel, plant communities



- 1.1A** - Hydrology altered via drought, improper grazing management, ditching/draining decreasing sedge community and allowing Bluejoint reedgrass, shrub and conifer species to increase from periphery of fens to interior of fens.
- 1.1B** - Introduction of weed propagules and weed canopy cover greater than 10 percent in the reference community.
- 1.2A** - Hydrology restored to allow sedge community to dominate and reduce Bluejoint reedgrass, shrub and conifer species to periphery.
- 1.2B** - Introduction of weed propagules and weed canopy cover greater than 10 percent in the modified hydrology community.
- 1.3A** - Weed management to reduce weed species to below 10 percent canopy cover.
- 1.3B** - Weed management to reduce weed species to below 10 percent canopy cover.


State 2 submodel, plant communities




2.1A - Introduction of weed propagules into the severely altered hydrology community. Community Phase Pathway 2.1A

2.2A - Weed management practices, Proper Grazing Management.

State 3 submodel, plant communities



State 4 submodel, plant communities



State 1 Reference

This is the historic reference state with normal functioning hydrology and wetland obligate sedge species dominating the vegetation community.

Community 1.1

Reference - Sedge Community, Intact Hydrology woollyfruit sedge (*Carex lasiocarpa*)- Buxbaum's sedge (*Carex buxbaumii*)- (water sedge (*Carex aquatilis*)-three-way sedge(*Dulichium arundinaceum*)/buckbean (*Menyanthes trifoliata*)



Figure 10. A basin type fen in reference condition.

Dominated by wetland obligate sedge species woollyfruit sedge (*Carex lasiocarpa*)-Buxbaum's sedge (*Carex buxbaumii*)- (water sedge (*Carex aquatilis*)-three-way sedge(*Dulichium arundinaceum*)/buckbean (*Menyanthes trifoliata*) Forbs minor component; minimal weeds present COMMUNITY PHASE 1.1: This community is dominated by one to two wetland obligate carex species, typically woollyfruit sedge with large amounts of Buxbaum's sedge with lesser amounts of water sedge and three-way sedge and the forb buckbean. On the drier outer edge of the fen, bluejoint (*Calamagrostis canadensis*) and shrubs such as Sitka alder and sageleaf willow can occur in large amounts. A variety of forb species are present besides buckbean but in very low cover, including scouringrush horsetail (*Equisetum hymenale*), aspen fleabane (*Erigeron speciosus*), hooded lady's tresses (*Spiranthes romanzoffiana*), roundleaf sundew (*Drosera rotundifolia*), and viola species. This is a very productive site averaging 3000 pounds per acre annual production. This is dominated by sedges (96 percent) with only very low forb weight (4 percent). This community is dominated by species that are designated wetland obligates and the site is saturated with a high water table for nearly the entire year. It may have brief, frequent ponding and overland sheet flow of water.

Dominant plant species

- woollyfruit sedge (*Carex lasiocarpa*), grass
- Buxbaum's sedge (*Carex buxbaumii*), grass
- water sedge (*Carex aquatilis*), grass
- three-way sedge (*Dulichium arundinaceum*), grass
- buckbean (*Menyanthes trifoliata*), other herbaceous

Table 5. Annual production by plant type

Plant Type	Low (Lb/Acre)	Representative Value (Lb/Acre)	High (Lb/Acre)
Grass/Grasslike	1980	2610	3240
Forb	110	145	180
Shrub/Vine	110	145	180
Total	2200	2900	3600

Table 6. Ground cover

Tree foliar cover	0%
Shrub/vine/liana foliar cover	0-5%
Grass/grasslike foliar cover	40-80%
Forb foliar cover	5-20%
Non-vascular plants	0-5%
Biological crusts	0%
Litter	30-50%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	0-5%
Surface fragments >3"	0-5%
Bedrock	0%
Water	0-30%
Bare ground	0%

Table 7. Soil surface cover

Tree basal cover	0%
Shrub/vine/liana basal cover	0-2%
Grass/grasslike basal cover	10-30%
Forb basal cover	0-5%
Non-vascular plants	0-2%
Biological crusts	0%
Litter	10-50%
Surface fragments >0.25" and <=3"	0-5%
Surface fragments >3"	0-5%
Bedrock	0%
Water	0-50%

Bare ground	0-10%
-------------	-------

Table 8. Canopy structure (% cover)

Height Above Ground (Ft)	Tree	Shrub/Vine	Grass/ Grasslike	Forb
<0.5	—	0-2%	10-20%	—
>0.5 <= 1	—	0-2%	10-30%	—
>1 <= 2	—	0-2%	10-30%	—
>2 <= 4.5	—	—	10-30%	—
>4.5 <= 13	—	—	—	—
>13 <= 40	—	—	—	—
>40 <= 80	—	—	—	—
>80 <= 120	—	—	—	—
>120	—	—	—	—

Community 1.2

Modified Hydrology Community Phase

Bluejoint reedgrass dominated, shrubs present, trees encroaching Black Cottonwood, Silverberry, Sitka alder, Bebb willow, currant, thimbleberry, conifer species This community phase has slightly modified hydrologic function from the reference community of 1.1, that has allowed bluejoint reedgrass, shrub and conifer species to encroach upon the native wetland obligate sedge community of the fens. Species that encroach include black cottonwood, Sitka alder, silverberry, Bebb's willow and other willow, blackberry and currant species.

Community 1.3

Weed Invaded Community

Weedy species greater than 10 percent canopy cover reedcanarygrass, redtop, quackgrass, silverweed cinquefoil This community has had an increase in weedy species such as reed canarygrass, redtop, quackgrass or silverweed cinquefoil above 10 percent of canopy cover.

Pathway 1.1A

Community 1.1 to 1.2

1.1A Hydrology altered via drought, improper grazing management, ditching/draining decreasing sedge community and allowing bluejoint reedgrass, shrub and conifer species to increase from periphery of fens to interior of fens. This pathway denotes a modified

hydrology regime with an increase in bluejoint reedgrass, the shrub species *Betula nana*, *Salix* or alder species and/or conifer species, possibly due to ditching, draining, drought or improper grazing management. Improper grazing management would affect hydrologic regime through ungulate hoof action that could cause breakage of the organic peat layer of the soil and dewatering of the site. As water leaves the site and the site becomes drier, bluejoint reedgrass, shrub and conifer species could invade from the periphery to the interior of the fens.

Pathway 1.1B

Community 1.1 to 1.3

1.1B Introduction of weed propagules and weed canopy cover greater than 10 percent in the reference community. This pathway denotes an invasion of weedy species into the reference community that exceeds 10 percent canopy cover including species such as reed canarygrass, redtop, quackgrass, and silverweed cinquefoil.

Pathway 1.2A

Community 1.2 to 1.1

1.2A Hydrology restored to allow sedge community to dominate and reduce Bluejoint reedgrass, shrub and conifer species to periphery. This pathway is a resumption of the hydrology of the reference phase of a saturated site with some seasonal ponding and sheet flow. Wetland obligate sedge species dominate the site and bluejoint reedgrass, shrub and conifer species are limited to the periphery of the fens.

Pathway 1.2B

Community 1.2 to 1.3

1.2B Introduction of weed propagules and weed canopy cover greater than 10 percent in the modified hydrology community. This pathway occurs when the bluejoint reedgrass community of 1.2 is invaded by weedy species beyond 10 percent canopy cover.

Pathway 1.3A

Community 1.3 to 1.1

1.3A Weed management to reduce weed species to below 10 percent canopy cover. This pathway occurs when weed management practices have lowered the weed canopy cover below 10 percent in the bluejoint reedgrass community.

Pathway 1.3B

Community 1.3 to 1.2

1.3B Weed management to reduce weed species to below 10 percent canopy cover. This pathway occurs when weed management practices have lowered the weed canopy cover

below 10 percent in the reference plant community.

State 2

Severely Altered Hydrology

This state has severely altered hydrologic function from the reference state and native upland vegetation has significantly encroached into the native wetland obligate sedge vegetation community.

Community 2.1

Native Upland Community

Dominated by upland species including grass, forb, shrub species weedy species less than 10 percent canopy cover. Community Phase 2.1: Invasion and establishment of dry site species that are not wetland obligates including species found in this ecological site. Wetland obligate species may still be present but have lower cover than the dry site species. Dry site species that may be present include: *Bromus marginatus*, *Calamagrostis Canadensis* (FACW), *Eurybia conspicua*, *Heracleum maximum* (FAC), and *Sanicula marilandica* (FAC). FACW indicates a facultative wetland species designation or hydrophyte, meaning that it usually occurs in wetlands, but may occur in non-wetlands. FAC indicates a facultative species or hydrophyte, meaning that it occurs in wetlands and non-wetlands.

Community 2.2

Invaded Community

Dominated by dry site weedy invasive species within the dry site community: Kentucky bluegrass, Canada thistle, Quackgrass, redtop, timothy, creeping meadow foxtail, orchardgrass, curly dock, common mullein. Community Phase 2.2: This phase has the dry site species of phase 2.1, but also includes non-native and noxious weed species that may include: Canada thistle, quackgrass, Kentucky bluegrass, redtop, silverweed cinquefoil and creeping meadow foxtail.

Pathway 2.1A

Community 2.1 to 2.2

2.1A Introduction of weed propagules into the severely altered hydrology community. Community Phase Pathway 2.1A This pathway occurs when the severely altered hydrology community is invaded by weedy species beyond 10 percent canopy cover.

Pathway 2.2A

Community 2.2 to 2.1

2.2A Weed management practices, Proper Grazing Management. Community Phase

Pathway 2.2A This pathway occurs when weed management practices have lowered the weed canopy cover below 10 percent in the severely altered hydrology community.

State 3 Invaded

This is species composition change from State 1.0 into one dominated by the escaped cultivar of reed canary grass with a concomitant decrease in the native perennial obligate wetland sedges of the reference community.

Community 3.1 Reed canarygrass dominated community

Reed canarygrass (PHAR3) dominates over sedges. Community Phase 3.1: Reed canary grass propagules have been introduced into the native vegetation community and with the addition of high nutrient levels from agricultural run-off, has outcompeted and dominated the native wetland obligate sedge community.

State 4 Cultivated

This is a plowed field of tame pasture and/or cropland grass species in which the natural hydrologic function has been severely altered to maintain the pasture or cropland.

Community 4.1 Cropland species

Alfalfa, smooth brome. Community Phase 4.1: Pasture or cropland species such as alfalfa and smooth brome nearly exclusively.

Transition T1A State 1 to 2

T1A Hydrology of ecological site severely altered via extended drought, ditching/draining, improper grazing management. TRANSITION 1A: Abrupt, large scale, extensive or intensive disturbances to the hydrology of groundwater, surface water flow or precipitation including large scale harvesting or clearcutting, road building, water wells, concentrated ungulate use, ditching, draining, peat mining or severe stand replacing fire upslope from site or severe fire within site. These disturbances could cause a threshold to be crossed in which wetland obligate species no longer dominate the vegetation community. Rather, the community is comprised of upland plant species.

Transition T1B State 1 to 3

T1B Reed Canary Grass propagules introduced and dominates any community within State 1. TRANSITION 1B: Invasion by reed canary grass into any of the communities of State 1. Reed canary grass establishes via propagules of the cultivar and dominates the site by outcompeting native perennial obligate wetland sedges through various means including efficient use of additional nutrients supplied by agricultural run-off.

Transition T1C

State 1 to 4

T1C Fens dewatered, plowed and planted with pasture or cropland grass species. TRANSITION 1C: Abrupt, large scale, extensive or intensive disturbances to the hydrology of groundwater, surface water flow or precipitation from ditching and/or draining to create conditions for pasture and/or croplands. These disturbances could cause a threshold to be crossed in which wetland obligate species no longer dominate the vegetation community. Rather, the community is comprised of tame pasture and/or cropland grass species.

Restoration pathway R2A

State 2 to 1

R2A Hydrological function restored to reference conditions; weed management practices. RESTORATION 2A: Hydrologic function restored to reference conditions; weed management practices to reduce upland weed species below the 10% canopy cover proportion.

Restoration pathway R3A

State 3 to 1

R3A Extreme weed management practices. RESTORATION 3A: Extreme weed management practices that reduce the canopy cover of reed canary grass to less than 10% canopy cover; hydrologic function restored to State 1 if any changes due to reed canary grass have occurred.

Restoration pathway R4A

State 4 to 1

R4A Hydrologic function restored to reference conditions; seeding with native obligate wetland sedges

Additional community tables

Table 9. Community 1.1 plant community composition

Group	Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Annual Production (Lb/Acre)	Foliar Cover (%)
Grass/Grasslike					

1	Sedges, Rushes			1980–3240	
	woollyfruit sedge	CALA11	<i>Carex lasiocarpa</i>	500–1260	0–50
	Northwest Territory sedge	CAUT	<i>Carex utriculata</i>	500–1260	–
	water sedge	CAAQ	<i>Carex aquatilis</i>	220–360	–
	Buxbaum's sedge	CABU6	<i>Carex buxbaumii</i>	110–180	0–50
	inland sedge	CAIN11	<i>Carex interior</i>	110–180	–
	three-way sedge	DUAR3	<i>Dulichium arundinaceum</i>	55–90	0–10
	panicled bulrush	SCMI2	<i>Scirpus microcarpus</i>	55–90	–
	slender spikerush	ELTE	<i>Eleocharis tenuis</i>	55–90	–
	spikerush	ELEOC	<i>Eleocharis</i>	55–90	–
	golden sedge	CAAU3	<i>Carex aurea</i>	55–90	–
	thinleaf cottonsedge	ERV19	<i>Eriophorum viridicarinatum</i>	55–90	–
	mud sedge	CALI7	<i>Carex limosa</i>	55–90	–
Forb					
2	Forb			110–180	
	onion	ALLIU	<i>Allium</i>	10–90	–
	roundleaf sundew	DRRO	<i>Drosera rotundifolia</i>	10–90	–
	scouringrush horsetail	EQHY	<i>Equisetum hyemale</i>	10–90	–
	aspen fleabane	ERSP4	<i>Erigeron speciosus</i>	10–90	–
	wild mint	MEAR4	<i>Mentha arvensis</i>	10–90	–
	buckbean	METR3	<i>Menyanthes trifoliata</i>	10–90	–
	hooded lady's tresses	SPRO	<i>Spiranthes romanzoffiana</i>	10–90	–
	violet	VIOLA	<i>Viola</i>	10–90	–
	willowherb	EPILO	<i>Epilobium</i>	10–90	–
	purple marshlocks	COPA28	<i>Comarum palustre</i>	10–90	–
Shrub/Vine					
3	Shrubs			110–180	
	Sitka alder	ALVIS	<i>Alnus viridis ssp. sinuata</i>	20–90	–
	dwarf birch	BENA	<i>Betula nana</i>	20–90	–
	shrubby cinquefoil	DAFR6	<i>Dasiphora fruticosa</i>	20–90	–
	gooseleaf willow	SACA4	<i>Salix candida</i>	20–90	–

	sageleaf willow	SACA4	<i>Salix candida</i>		20–30	–
--	-----------------	-------	----------------------	--	-------	---

Table 10. Community 1.1 forest understory composition

Common Name	Symbol	Scientific Name	Nativity	Height (Ft)	Canopy Cover (%)
Grass/grass-like (Graminoids)					
Buxbaum's sedge	CABU6	<i>Carex buxbaumii</i>	Native	–	0–55
woollyfruit sedge	CALA11	<i>Carex lasiocarpa</i>	Native	–	0–45
water sedge	CAAQ	<i>Carex aquatilis</i>	Native	–	0–10
three-way sedge	DUAR3	<i>Dulichium arundinaceum</i>	Native	–	0–10
sedge	CAREX	<i>Carex</i>	Native	–	0–5
bluejoint	CACA4	<i>Calamagrostis canadensis</i>	Native	–	0–5
Northwest Territory sedge	CAUT	<i>Carex utriculata</i>	Native	–	0–2
orange lichen	CALI18	<i>Caloplaca livida</i>	Native	–	0–1
inland sedge	CAIN11	<i>Carex interior</i>	Native	–	0–1
slender spikerush	ELTE	<i>Eleocharis tenuis</i>	Native	–	0–1
scouringrush horsetail	EQHY	<i>Equisetum hyemale</i>	Native	–	0–1
thinleaf cottonsedge	ERV19	<i>Eriophorum viridicarinatum</i>	Native	–	0–1
hardstem bulrush	SCAC3	<i>Schoenoplectus acutus</i>	Native	–	0–1
Forb/Herb					
buckbean	METR3	<i>Menyanthes trifoliata</i>	Native	–	0–10
spotted medick	MEAR	<i>Medicago arabica</i>	Native	–	0–2
roundleaf sundew	DRRO	<i>Drosera rotundifolia</i>	Native	–	0–2
inundated clubmoss	LYIN2	<i>Lycopodiella inundata</i>	Native	–	0–1
aspen fleabane	ERSP4	<i>Erigeron speciosus</i>	Native	–	0–1
hooded lady's tresses	SPRO	<i>Spiranthes romanzoffiana</i>	Native	–	0–1
violet	VIOLA	<i>Viola</i>	Native	–	0–1
Shrub/Subshrub					
Sitka alder	ALVIS	<i>Alnus viridis ssp. sinuata</i>	Native	–	0–1
dwarf birch	BENA	<i>Betula nana</i>	Native	–	0–1
shrubby cinquefoil	DAFR6	<i>Dasiphora fruticosa</i>	Native	–	0–1
sageleaf willow	SACA4	<i>Salix candida</i>	Native	–	0–1

Other references

- Allen, Douglas R.; Marlow, Clayton B. 1994. Shoot population dynamics of beaked sedge following cattle grazing. *Journal of Range Management*. 47(1): 64-69. [22923]
- Hansen, Paul L. 1992. Classification and management of riparian-wetland shrub sites in Montana. In: Clary, Warren P.;
- Chadde, S., J. Shelly, R. Bursik, R. Moseley, A. Evenden, M. Mantas, F. Rabe, B. Heidel. Peatlands on National Forests of the Northern Rocky Mountains: Ecology and Conservation. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station. GTR-RMRS-GTR-11. July 1998.
- Hansen, Paul L. 1992. Classification and management of riparian-wetland shrub sites in Montana. In: Clary, Warren P.;
- McArthur, E. Durant; Bedunah, Don; Wambolt, Carl L., compilers. Proceedings--symposium on ecology and management of riparian shrub communities; 1991 May 29-31; Sun Valley, ID. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-289. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station: 68-78. [19098]
- Soil Survey Staff. 2015. Illustrated guide to soil taxonomy. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service, National Soil Survey Center, Lincoln, Nebraska.
- Wakimoto, Ronald H.; Willard, E. Earl. 1991. Progress report: Monitoring post-fire vegetation recovery in ponderosa pine and sedge meadow communities in Glacier National Park, NW Montana. Research Joint Venture Agreement INT-89441. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 17 p. [17635]
- Willard, E. Earl; Wakimoto, Ronald H.; Ryan, Kevin C. 1995. Vegetation recovery in sedge meadow communities within the Red Bench Fire, Glacier National Park. In: Cerulean, Susan I.; Engstrom, R. Todd, eds. Fire in wetlands: a management perspective: Proceedings, 19th Tall Timbers fire ecology conference; 1993 November 3-6; Tallahassee, FL. No. 19. Tallahassee, FL: Tall Timbers Research Station: 102-110. [25778].

Contributors

Jay Skovlin
Stephanie Shoemaker
Beth Rowley
Pat O'Connell

Approval

Kirt Walstad, 3/11/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an

assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	
Contact for lead author	
Date	10/27/2023
Approved by	Kirt Walstad
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. Number and extent of rills:

2. Presence of water flow patterns:

3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):

5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:

6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:

7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):

8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most

sites will show a range of values):

9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):**
-

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:**
-

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):**
-

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant:

Sub-dominant:

Other:

Additional:

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):**
-

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):**
-

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):**
-

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:**
-

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:**
-