

Ecological site R035XC302AZ Sedimentary Cliffs 10-14" p.z.

Accessed: 05/20/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Kevin Williams
Contact for lead author	NRCS State Rangeland Management Specialist, Phoenix AZ
Date	01/09/2007
Approved by	Steve Barker
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1. **Number and extent of rills:** Rills may be common on talus slopes and other areas of soil accumulation due to runoff from adjacent rock outcrop and steep slopes. Much of the soil surface on talus slopes is armored by rock fragments.
-
2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Water flow patterns are occasional but may be common on talus slopes and other areas of soil accumulation due to runoff from adjacent rock outcrop and steep slopes. These patterns are usually short and discontinuous due to the frequency of rock fragments on the surface.

-
3. **Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes:** Pedestals and terracettes are occasional but may be common on talus slopes and other areas of soil accumulation due to runoff from adjacent rock outcrop and steep slopes. Much of the soil surface on talus slopes is armored by rock fragments.
-
4. **Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground):** Bare ground ranges from 5-10%. Areas with a greater cover of rock fragments and/or rock outcrop will have less bare ground. Drought may cause an increase in bare ground. The talus slopes have 3.7 inches of available water capacity (rock outcrop would have close to 0), so the potential to produce plant cover is low.
-
5. **Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies:** None
-
6. **Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas:** None
-
7. **Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel):**
Herbaceous, fine woody, and some coarse woody litter will be transported in water flow pathways. Most coarse woody litter will remain under shrub and tree canopies. There may be more litter movement in areas that are adjacent to large expanses of rock outcrop.
-
8. **Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values):** Soil surface textures are variable on the site. All surface horizons contain a significant amount of rock outcrops (gravel and/or boulders). Most soils have 40-80% ground cover of rock fragments (mostly gravels and boulders with some cobbles and stones). When well vegetated or covered with rock armor, the soils have a high resistance to both water and wind erosion. When well vegetated, these soils have a low to moderate resistance to water erosion depending on amount of rock fragment and vegetative cover.
-
9. **Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness):** Soil surface structure is strong fine granular. The thickness of the A-horizon

is 1 inch. The color of the A-horizon is not significantly different from the subsurface soil horizons.

10. **Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff:** The vegetation communities on this site are scattered and patchy. They are present where there has been some accumulation of soil, such as a talus slope, or in cracks in the bedrock where they can access water. This site is characterized by shrubs, grasses, then forbs, in descending order of dominance. There may be an occasional overstory of trees. Vegetative canopy cover ranges from 5-10% (grasses > forbs = shrubs > trees). Basal cover ranges 0-2% (shrubs > grasses = forbs > trees) for vascular plants and 0-1% for biological crust (cyanobacteria > lichen > moss). Both canopy and basal cover values decrease during a prolonged drought.
-

11. **Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site):** None. Most of the soils are not easily compacted. Rock fragments are common on the soil surface and within the soil profile.
-

12. **Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):**

Dominant: none

Sub-dominant: shrubs > perennial bunchgrasses > perennial colonizing grasses

Other: forbs > trees > annual forbs > annual grasses

Additional:

13. **Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence):** All plant functional groups are adapted to survival in all but the most severe droughts. Severe winter droughts affect shrubs and trees the most. Severe summer droughts affect grasses the most.
-

14. **Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in):** Of the total litter amount, it would be expected that approximately 80-90% would be herbaceous litter and approximately 10-20% would be woody litter. Litter amounts increase during the first few years of drought, then decrease in later years.
-

15. **Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production):** 50-100 lbs/ac dry years; 100-200 lbs/ac median years; 200-300 lbs/ac wet years.
-

16. **Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site:** Pricklypear, Whipple"s cholla and Broom snakeweed are native to the site and have the potential to increase and dominate after heavy grazing. Cheatgrass is an exotic grass that has the potential to invade this site, with or without heavy grazing.
-

17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All plants native to the site are adapted to the climate and are capable of producing seeds, stolons and/or rhizomes except during the most severe droughts.
-