

## Ecological site R030XY013NV SHALLOW SILTY

Last updated: 2/24/2025 Accessed: 05/21/2025

## Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	P.Novak-Echenique/E. Hourihan
Contact for lead author	State Rangeland Management Specialist
Date	11/17/2011
Approved by	Sarah Quistberg
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

## **Indicators**

1.	Numbe	r and	extent o	f rills:	None

- 2. **Presence of water flow patterns:** Water flow patterns are rare to common dependent on site location relative to major inflow areas. Water flow patterns are typically short, ending in depressional areas where water ponds.
- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None

4.	Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare Ground ± 80%
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine litter (foliage of grasses and annual & perennial forbs) expected to move distance of slope length during periods of intense summer convection storms. Persistent litter (large woody material) will remain in place except during unusual flooding events.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil stability values will range from 1 to 4. (To be field tested.)
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Structure of soil surface will be weak or thick platy. Soil surface colors are pale browns and soils are typified by an ochric epipedon. Organic matter is less than 1.0 percent.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Rare flooding and brief ponding may occur during the winter months. Runoff is low. Deep-rooted bunchgrasses (i.e., Indian ricegrass) increase infiltration.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Compacted layers are none. Massive subsurface layers or calcic horizons are normal for this site and are not to be interpreted as compaction.

12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: salt-desert shrubs
	Sub-dominant: deep-rooted, cool season, perennial bunchgrasses > associated shrubs > deep-rooted cool, season, perennial forbs > warn season bunchgrasses > deep-rooted, cool season, perennial forbs = fibrous, shallow-rooted, cool season, perennial and annual forbs
	Other:
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Dead branches within individual shrubs common and standing dead shrub canopy material may be as much as 30% of total woody canopy
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth ( in): Between plant interspaces and under shrubs (5-10%) and depth ( $\pm \frac{1}{4}$ in.)
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): For normal or average growing season (March thru May) ± 100 lbs/ac; Favorable years 150 lbs/ac and unfavorable years 50 lbs/ac.
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a

which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Potential invaders include: red brome, Mediterranean grass, redstem filaree, annual mustards, and Russian thistle.

during below average years.						