

Ecological site R030XB050NV Calcic Dry Wash

Last updated: 2/24/2025 Accessed: 05/21/2025

General information

Provisional. A provisional ecological site description has undergone quality control and quality assurance review. It contains a working state and transition model and enough information to identify the ecological site.

MLRA notes

Major Land Resource Area (MLRA): 030X–Mojave Basin and Range

The Mojave Desert Major Land Resource Area (MLRA 30) is found in southern California, southern Nevada, the extreme southwest corner of Utah and northwestern Arizona within the Basin and Range Province of the Intermontane Plateaus. The Mojave Desert is a transitional area between hot deserts and cold deserts where close proximity of these desert types exert enough influence on each other to distinguish these desert types from the hot and cold deserts beyond the Mojave. Kottek et. al 2006 defines hot deserts as areas where mean annual air temperatures are above 64 F (18 C) and cold deserts as areas where mean annual air temperatures are below 64 F (18 C). Steep elevation gradients within the Mojave create islands of low elevation hot desert areas surrounded by islands of high elevation cold desert areas.

The Mojave Desert receives less than 10 inches of mean annual precipitation. Mojave Desert low elevation areas are often hyper-arid while high elevation cold deserts are often semi-arid with the majority of the Mojave being an arid climate. Hyper-arid areas receive less than 4 inches of mean annual precipitation and semi-arid areas receive more than 8 inches of precipitation (Salem 1989). The western Mojave receives very little precipitation during the summer months while the eastern Mojave experiences some summer monsoonal activity.

In summary, the Mojave is a land of extremes. Elevation gradients contribute to extremely hot and dry summers and cold moist winters where temperature highs and lows can fluctuate greatly between day and night, from day to day and from winter to summer. Precipitation falls more consistently at higher elevations while lower elevations can experience long intervals without any precipitation. Lower elevations also experience a low frequency of precipitation events so that the majority of annual precipitation may come in only a couple precipitation events during the whole year. Hot desert areas influence cold desert areas by increasing the extreme highs and shortening the length of below freezing events. Cold desert areas influence hot desert areas by increasing the extreme lows and increasing the length of below freezing events. Average precipitation and temperature values contribute little understanding to the extremes which govern wildland plant communities across the Mojave.

Arid Eastern Mojave Land Resource Unit (XB)

LRU notes

The Mojave Desert is currently divided into 4 Land Resource Units (LRUs). This ecological site is within the Arid Eastern Mojave LRU where precipitation is bi-modal, occurring during the winter months and summer months. The Arid Eastern Mojave LRU is designated by the 'XB' symbol within the ecological site ID. This LRU is found across the eastern half of California, much of the mid-elevations of Nevada, the southernmost portions of western Utah, and the mid-elevations of northwestern Arizona. This LRU is essentially equivalent to the Eastern Mojave Basins and Eastern Mojave Low Ranges and Arid Footslopes of EPA Level IV Ecoregions

Elevations range from 1650 to 4000 feet and precipitation is between 4 to 8 inches per year. This LRU is distinguished from the Arid Western Mojave (XA) by the summer precipitation, falling between July and September, which tends to support more warm season plant species. The 'XB' LRU is generally east of the Mojave River and the 117 W meridian (Hereford et. al 2004). Vegetation includes creosote bush, burrobush, Nevada jointfir, ratany, Mojave yucca, Joshua tree, cacti, big galleta grass and several other warm season grasses. At the upper portions of the LRU, plant production and diversity are greater and blackbrush is a common dominant shrub.

Ecological site concept

This site is an inset fan or fluve below 3600 feet elevation which drains soils with a calcic horizon. Plant hardiness zone is 9a or lower; smoketree is not present in nearby large washes.

This is a group concept and provisional STM that also covers R030XB051NV.

Associated sites

| R030XB005NV | Arid Active Alluvial Fans |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| R030XB019NV | Eroded Fan Remnant Pavette 4-6 P.Z. |

Similar sites

| R030XB051NV | UPLAND WASH Higher elevations; creosotebush minor spp., if present | |
|-------------|---|--|
| R030XY046NV | OUTWASH PLAIN ATPO-AMDU2 codominant shrubs; site occurs on alluvial flats | |
| R030XB028NV | VALLEY WASH More productive site; ATPO absent to minor shrub | |
| R030XY047NV | ALLUVIAL PLAIN ATPO dominant shrub; site occurs on lake plains | |

Table 1. Dominant plant species

| Tree | Not specified | |
|------------|---|--|
| Shrub | (1) Larrea tridentata (2) Hymenoclea salsola | |
| Herbaceous | Not specified | |

Physiographic features

This site occurs on inset fans within the drainageways of ephemeral streams. Slope gradients of 2 to 8 percent are most typical. Elevations are 1000 to 2200 feet.

Table 2. Representative physiographic features

| Landforms | (1) Drainageway |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| Flooding duration | Very brief (4 to 48 hours) |
| Flooding frequency | Occasional |
| Ponding frequency | None |
| Elevation | 366–671 m |
| Slope | 2–8% |
| Aspect | Aspect is not a significant factor |

Climatic features

The climate of the Mojave Desert has extreme fluctuations of daily temperatures, strong seasonal winds, and clear skies. The climate is arid and is characterized with cool, moist winters and hot, dry summers. Most of the rainfall falls between November and April. Summer convection storms from July to September may contribute up to 25 percent of the annual precipitation. Average annual precipitation is 3 to 5 inches. Mean annual air temperature is 60 to 72 degrees F. The average growing season is about 180 to 290 days.

Table 3. Representative climatic features

| Frost-free period (average) | 290 days |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| Freeze-free period (average) | |
| Precipitation total (average) | 127 mm |




Figure 1. Monthly average minimum and maximum temperature

Influencing water features

This site is associated with ephemeral streams that are subject to occasional flooding.

Soil features

Soils associated with this site are very deep alluvium derived from mixed sources. Textures are highly variable but sandy loams to sands are typical. The soils are modified by high amounts of gravels, cobbles, or stones. Water intake rates are very rapid, available water capacity is very low, runoff is very low and the soils are excessively drained. The soils associated with this site include: Carrizo.

| Surface texture | (1) Extremely gravelly sand(2) Very gravelly coarse sand(3) Extremely cobbly sandy loam |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Family particle size | (1) Sandy |
| Drainage class | Excessively drained |
| Permeability class | Very rapid |
| Soil depth | 183–213 cm |
| Surface fragment cover <=3" | 50–65% |

| Table 4 | Representative | soil features |
|---------|----------------|---------------|
|---------|----------------|---------------|

| Surface fragment cover >3" | 3–5% |
|--|--------------|
| Available water capacity (0-101.6cm) | 3.81–4.06 cm |
| Calcium carbonate equivalent (0-101.6cm) | 0% |
| Electrical conductivity (0-101.6cm) | 0–4 mmhos/cm |
| Sodium adsorption ratio (0-101.6cm) | 0 |
| Soil reaction (1:1 water) (0-101.6cm) | 7.9–8.4 |
| Subsurface fragment volume <=3" (Depth not specified) | 50–60% |
| Subsurface fragment volume >3" (Depth not specified) | 3–11% |

Ecological dynamics

Ephemeral streams are unique in that they lack permanent flow although they perform the same critical hydrologic functions as perennial streams; they move water, sediment, nutrients and debris through the stream network and provide connectivity within the watershed. These systems experience extreme and rapid variations in flood magnitudes as a response to heavy rain events. The fundamental difference between ephemeral and perennial streams is that ephemeral stream channels have sizeable transmission losses when they flow. Ephemeral streams are also characterized by wider channels, low sinuosity, and flat bed topography. The sparseness of vegetation along the stream banks contributes to channel widening tendencies. (Levick et al 2008).

Along the desert washes, vegetation composition and structure overlap considerably with those of the surrounding desert uplands. An example of this is creosotebush and white bursage commonly occur in the washes and adjoining uplands. As water availability increases, the vegetation becomes increasingly distinct from the upland vegetation with respect of physiognomy and species composition. Canopy cover increases and mesoriparian and hydroriparian species increase in abundance (Levick et al 2008). The four wash ecological sites are characterized as having intermediate water availability and support more drought-tolerant shrubs.


As a result of decreased flow rates in the downstream direction, more silts and fines are deposited in the channel, which can be advantageous to biotic communities. Many of the species (white burrobush, desert rabbitbrush, baccharis, sweetbush) occurring on these sites are generally considered to be increasers or pioneers in the presence of disturbance (Abella 2010). These species produce prolific numbers of wind-dispersed seeds and are more abundant in ephemeral streams with intense flood scour (Levick et al 2008). Rainfall

and flood flow events can trigger a pulse of germination of annual and perennial forbs from a diverse soil seed bank. Some species, such as catclaw acacia, desert willow, purple sage (*Salvia dorrii*), and bladdersage (*Salazaria mexicana*) have resprouting capabilities; an adaptation to withstand flooding flows. Catclaw acacia also has nitrogen fixing bacteria associated with its roots, which can be an important influence on local nitrogen availability. The increased availability of nutrients on these sites compared to the surrounding area increases the likelihood of encountering invasive species, like saltcedar (Tamarix spp.). Saltcedar is successful in arid environments due to its ability to tolerate unpredictable periods of moisture. Perturbation of these systems by natural or anthropogenic causes can result in the development of continuous incised channels.

Flooding is a natural disturbance within these ecological sites due to their location on the landscape. Floods help to redistribute nutrients across the landscape, as well as, encouraging resprouting and seedling establishment. Seedling establishment and canopy expansion is greater for plants growing in the drainageways than the surrounding area. However, these plants are less tolerant of extended dry periods because they are adapted to increased soil moisture (Hamerlynk and McAuliffe 2008). Very large rainfall events rarely occur but have the potential to remove existing vegetation and deposit new sediment, initiating secondary succession.

Wildfire is infrequent and patchy in this system. However, years with increased annual precipitation result in increased production from annuals and increase the chances of wildfire. Post fire creosotebush, baccharis and other fire intolerant shrubs decrease. Fire tolerant species such as bursage, burrowbrush, ephedra, desert willow and acacia are able to sprout from the root crown and may increase following wildfire. Fire also favors an increase by perennial native grasses. Creosotebush is poorly adapted to fire because of its limited sprouting ability. Creosotebush survives some fires that burn patchily or are of low severity. White burrobrush establishes after fire via off-site seeds and sprouting (sprout origin unspecified). Because it seeds prolifically, white burrobrush can quickly colonize burned sites. White burrobrush is often top-killed by fire. Fire top-kills or kills fourwing saltbush, depending upon ecotype. Fourwing saltbush may sprout after top-kill. Fire generally kills white bursage. However, it readily establishes from seed.

State and transition model



State 1 Reference State

This state represents the natural range of variability under pristine conditions. Plant community phase changes are maintained by occasional flooding in response to heavy rainfall events, periodic drought and insect attack. Fire is rare in this system, but can have long term impacts on plant community composition. Timing of disturbances combined with weather events determines plant community dynamics.

Community 1.1 Reference Plant Community

The reference plant community is dominated by creosotebush, white burrobrush and cattle saltbush. Other important species associated with this site are ephedra, white bursage, big galleta, Indian ricegrass and desert needlegrass. Potential vegetative composition is about 10% perennial and annual grasses, 10% annual and perennial forbs and 80% shrubs. Approximate ground cover (basal and crown) is less than 8 percent.

| Plant Type | Low (Kg/Hectare) | Representative Value (Kg/Hectare) | High (Kg/Hectare) |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Shrub/Vine | 67 | 135 | 314 |
| Grass/Grasslike | 9 | 17 | 39 |
| Forb | 8 | 17 | 39 |
| Total | 84 | 169 | 392 |

State 2 Invaded

Introduced annuals such as red brome, schismus and redstem stork's bill have invaded the reference plant community and have become a dominant component of the herbaceous cover. This invasion of non-natives is attributed to a combination of factors including: 1) surface disturbances, 2) changes in the kinds of animals and their grazing patterns, 3) drought, and 4) changes in fire history. These non-natives annuals are highly flammable and promote wildfires where fires historically have been infrequent. The Invaded State is characterized by the presence of non-natives in the understory. A biotic threshold has been crossed with the introduction of non-native annuals that cannot be removed from the system. Ecological resiliency has been reduced by the presence of non-native species that have the potential to alter disturbance regimes significantly from their natural or historic range of disturbances. In addition to non-native annual grasses like Mediterranean grass and red brome, this site is also susceptible to invasion by saltcedar.

Community 2.1 Plant Community Phase 2.1

This plant community is compositionally similar to the Reference Plant Community with a trace of non-natives in the understory. Ecologic function has not been compromised at this time, however resilience is reduced by the presence of non-natives.

Community 2.2 Plant Community Phase 2.2

This plant community is characterized as "at-risk". The decrease in long-lived perennial shrubs has reduced the stability of the site, leaving it vulnerable to increased erosion. Natural ecosystem processes are disrupted sediment and nutrients are increasingly redistributed downstream.

Pathway 2.1a Community 2.1 to 2.2

Increased disturbance from OHV use or other impacts removes long-lived shrubs and favors short-lived species and non-native annuals.

Pathway 2.2a Community 2.2 to 2.1

With time and removal of disturbance long-lived perennial species return and ecosystem is restored.

State 3 Eroded State

The Eroded State is characterized by increased channel erosion. An abiotic threshold has been crossed, triggered by chronic disturbance or even a discrete event such as an intense rainfall event, leading to sediment transport and the loss of perennial vegetation.

Community 3.1 Plant Community Phase 3.1

This plant community is dominated by short-lived perennial shrubs and non-natives, characteristic of a short disturbance return interval. The ability of this site to dissipate energy during large flow event is severely reduced contributing to ecological damage downstream.

Community 3.2 Plant Community Phase 3.2

This plant community is characterized by a loss of stability, increased erosion, and channel incision. Ecological processes have been altered including connectivity within the watershed, ground water recharge and habitat quality.

Pathway 3.1a Community 3.1 to 3.2

Large scale disturbance removes remaining perennial shrubs.

Pathway 3.2a Community 3.2 to 3.1

With time and the exclusion of disturbance some perennial plants return to the system increasing stability.

Transition 1 State 1 to 2

Introduction of non-native species due to anthropogenic impacts including OHV use, dry

land farming, grazing, linear corridors, mining, military operations, and settlements.

Transition 2 State 2 to 3

Large scale disturbance on a short return interval remove stabilizing vegetation and lead to increased erosion.

Restoration pathway 3 State 3 to 2

Restoration pathway. Ecological processes can be restored to the site, but non-natives remain. Possible restoration techniques include stabilizing the site by reestablishing native perennials and the use of artificial rip-rap to dissipate energy and reestablish the flood plain.

Additional community tables

Table 6. Community 1.1 plant community composition

| Group | Common Name | Symbol | Scientific Name | Annual Production (Kg/Hectare) | Foliar Cover (%) |
|-------|------------------------|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Grass | /Grasslike | | | | |
| 1 | Perennial Grasses | | | 3–17 | |
| | Indian ricegrass | ACHY | Achnatherum hymenoides | 1–3 | _ |
| | desert needlegrass | ACSP12 | Achnatherum speciosum | 1–3 | _ |
| | threeawn | ARIST | Aristida | 1–3 | _ |
| | King's eyelashgrass | BLKI | Blepharidachne kingii | 1–3 | _ |
| | big galleta | PLRI3 | Pleuraphis rigida | 1–3 | - |
| 2 | Annual Grasses | | | 1–9 | |
| Forb | · | | | | |
| 3 | Perennial Forbs | | | 3–13 | |
| 4 | Annual Forbs | | | 1–17 | |
| Shrub | /Vine | | | | |
| 5 | Primary Shrubs | | | 66–137 | |
| | creosote bush | LATR2 | Larrea tridentata | 34–50 | _ |
| | burrobrush | HYSA | Hymenoclea salsola | 17–34 | _ |
| | cattle saltbush | ATPO | Atriplex polycarpa | 9–26 | _ |
| | jointfir | EPHED | Ephedra | 3–13 | _ |
| | burrobush | AMDU2 | Ambrosia dumosa | 3–13 | _ |
| 6 | Secondary Shrub |)S | | 17–34 | |
| | baccharis | BACCH | Baccharis | 2–9 | _ |
| | brittlebush | ENFA | Encelia farinosa | 2–9 | _ |
| | ratany | KRAME | Krameria | 2–9 | _ |
| | desert pepperweed | LEFR2 | Lepidium fremontii | 2–9 | _ |
| | desert-thorn | LYCIU | Lycium | 2–9 | _ |
| | Mexican bladdersage | SAME | Salazaria mexicana | 2–9 | _ |
| | Schott's yucca | YUSC | Yucca ×schottii | 2–9 | _ |

Animal community

Livestock Interpretations:

This site has limited value for livestock grazing, due to the low forage production. Grazing management should be keyed to palatable shrub production. Many animals bed in or under creosotebush. Domestic sheep dig shallow beds under creosotebush because it provides the only shade in the desert scrub community. Creosotebush is unpalatable to livestock. Consumption of creosotebush may be fatal to sheep. Cattle saltbush is one of the most palatable shrubs in the West. Its protein, fat, and carbohydrate levels are comparable to alfalfa. It provides nutritious forage for all classes of livestock. Palatability is rated as good for domestic sheep and domestic goats; fair for cattle; fair to good for horses in winter, poor for horses in other seasons. White bursage is an important browse species. Browsing pressure on white bursage is particularly heavy during years of low precipitation, when production of winter annuals is low. White bursage is of intermediate forage value. It is fair to good forage for horses and fair to poor for cattle and sheep. However, because there is often little other forage where white bursage grows, it is often highly valuable to browsing animals.

Stocking rates vary over time depending upon season of use, climate variations, site, and previous and current management goals. A safe starting stocking rate is an estimated stocking rate that is fine tuned by the client by adaptive management through the year and from year to year.

Wildlife Interpretations:

Many small mammals browse creosotebush or consume its seeds. Desert reptiles and amphibians use creosotebush as a food source and perch site and hibernate or estivate in burrows under creosotebush, avoiding predators and excessive daytime temperatures. Cattle saltbush provides valuable habitat and year-round browse for wildlife. Cattle saltbush also provides browse and shelter for small mammals. Additionally, the browse provides a source of water for black-tailed jackrabbits in arid environments. Granivorous birds consume the fruits. Wild ungulates, rodent and lagomorphs readily consume all aboveground portions of the plant. Palatability is rated good for deer, elk, pronghorn and bighorn sheep. White bursage is an important browse species for wildlife.

Hydrological functions

Runoff is very low. Permeability is very rapid.

Recreational uses

Aesthetic value is derived from the diverse floral and faunal composition and the colorful flowering of wild flowers and shrubs during the spring and early summer. This site offers rewarding opportunities to photographers and for nature study. This site is used for hiking.

Other products

Creosotebush has been highly valued for its medicinal properties by desert peoples. It has been used to treat at least 14 illnesses. Twigs and leaves may be boiled as tea, steamed, pounded into a powder, pressed into a poultice, or heated into an infusion. White bursage

is a host for sandfood, a parasitic plant with a sweet, succulent, subterranean flowerstalk. Sandfood was a valuable food supply for Native Americans.

Other information

Creosotebush may be used to rehabilitate disturbed environments in southwestern deserts. Once established, creosotebush may improve sites for annuals that grow under its canopy by trapping fine soil, organic matter, and symbiont propagules. It may also increase water infiltration and storage. White bursage may be used to revegetate disturbed sites in southwestern deserts.

Other references

Abella, S.R. 2010. Disturbance and plant succession in the Mojave and Sonoran Desert of the American Southwest. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health. 7: 1248-1284.

Fire Effects Information System (Online; http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/plants/).

Hereford, R., R.H. Webb and C. I. Longpre. 2004. Precipitation history of the Mojave Desert region, 1893-2001 (No. 117-03).

Kottek, M., Grieser, J., Beck, C., Rudolf, B., & Rubel, F. (2006). World map of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification updated. Meteorologische Zeitschrift, 15(3), 259-263.

Levick, L.R., D.C Goodrich, M. Hernandez, J. Fonseca, D.J. Semmens, J. Stromberg, M. Tluczek, R.A. Leidy, M. Scianni, D.P. Guertin, and W.G. Kepner. 2008. The Ecological and Hydrological Significance of Ephemeral and Intermittent Streams in the Arid and Semi-arid American Southwest. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Research and Development.Washington D.C.

Salem, B. B. (1989). Arid zone forestry: a guide for field technicians (No. 20). Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO).

USDA-NRCS Plants Database (Online; http://www.plants.usda.gov).

Contributors

RWA Dustin Detweiler

Approval

Sarah Quistberg, 2/24/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

| Author(s)/participant(s) | P NOVAK-ECHENIQUE |
|---|---------------------------------------|
| Contact for lead author | State Rangeland Management Specialist |
| Date | 04/12/2010 |
| Approved by | Sarah Quistberg |
| Approval date | |
| Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on | Annual Production |

Indicators

- 1. **Number and extent of rills:** Rills are none to few in areas recently subject to intense summer rainfall and on steep slopes.
- 2. Presence of water flow patterns: Water flow patterns are common.
- 3. Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: Pedestals are rare with occurrence typically limited to areas within water flow patterns.
- Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen, moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare Ground to 20%; surface rock fragments to 85%; shrub canopy to 5%; basal area for perennial herbaceous plants (Trace).
- 5. Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None

- 6. Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None
- 7. Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine litter (foliage from grasses and annual & perennial forbs) expected to move distance of slope length during intense summer convection storms or rapid snowmelt events. Persistent litter (large woody material) will remain in place except during catastrophic events.
- 8. Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages most sites will show a range of values): Soil stability values should be 2 to 4 on most soil textures found on this site. Areas of this site occurring on soils that have a physical crust will probably have stability values less than 3. (To be field tested.)
- Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Surface structure is typically massive. Soil surface colors are light and soils are typified by an ochric epipedon. Organic matter of the surface 2 to 3 inches is less than 0.5 percent.
- 10. Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Sparse shrub canopy and associated litter break raindrop impact.
- 11. Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): None. Massive subsurface horizons are not to be interpreted as compacted layers.
- 12. Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):

Dominant: Reference Plant Community: Mojave Desert shrubs

Sub-dominant: deep-rooted, warm-season, bunchgrasses > perennial forbs > deep-rooted, cool-season, bunchgrasses > annual forbs > shallow-rooted grasses

Other:

Additional:

- 13. Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Dead branches within individual shrubs common and standing dead shrub canopy material may be as much as 25% of total woody canopy.
- 14. Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Between plant interspaces <5% and depth (±¼-inch).
- 15. Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): For normal or average growing season ±150lbs/ac.
- 16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Invaders on this site include annual grasses and forbs, such as red brome, Mediterranean grass, and filaree.
- 17. **Perennial plant reproductive capability:** All functional groups should reproduce in above average growing season years.