

Ecological site R023XY002NV SALINE MEADOW

Last updated: 4/10/2025 Accessed: 05/21/2025

Rangeland health reference sheet

Interpreting Indicators of Rangeland Health is a qualitative assessment protocol used to determine ecosystem condition based on benchmark characteristics described in the Reference Sheet. A suite of 17 (or more) indicators are typically considered in an assessment. The ecological site(s) representative of an assessment location must be known prior to applying the protocol and must be verified based on soils and climate. Current plant community cannot be used to identify the ecological site.

Author(s)/participant(s)	Patti Novak-Echenique
Contact for lead author	State Rangeland Management Specialist
Date	02/05/2010
Approved by	Kendra Moseley
Approval date	
Composition (Indicators 10 and 12) based on	Annual Production

Indicators

1.	Number and extent of rills: Rills are none.
2.	Presence of water flow patterns: Water flow patterns are none to rare.
3.	Number and height of erosional pedestals or terracettes: None

4. Bare ground from Ecological Site Description or other studies (rock, litter, lichen,

	moss, plant canopy are not bare ground): Bare Ground ± 10-20%.
5.	Number of gullies and erosion associated with gullies: None
6.	Extent of wind scoured, blowouts and/or depositional areas: None
7.	Amount of litter movement (describe size and distance expected to travel): Fine litter (foliage of grasses and annual & perennial forbs) only expected to move during periods of flooding by runoff from adjacent landscapes. Persistent litter (large woody material) will remain in place.
8.	Soil surface (top few mm) resistance to erosion (stability values are averages - most sites will show a range of values): Soil stability values will range from 3 to 6. (To be field tested.)
9.	Soil surface structure and SOM content (include type of structure and A-horizon color and thickness): Surface structure is medium platy. Soil surface colors are light grays and soils are typified by an ochric epipedon. Organic matter can range from 1.5 to more than 3 percent.
10.	Effect of community phase composition (relative proportion of different functional groups) and spatial distribution on infiltration and runoff: Perennial grasses (Nevada bluegrass, saltgrass, and alkaligrass] and associated litter slow runoff and increase infiltration.
11.	Presence and thickness of compaction layer (usually none; describe soil profile features which may be mistaken for compaction on this site): Compacted layers are none. Angular blocky or massive subsurface layers are not to be interpreted as soil compaction.

12.	Functional/Structural Groups (list in order of descending dominance by above-ground annual-production or live foliar cover using symbols: >>, >, = to indicate much greater than, greater than, and equal to):
	Dominant: Reference Plant Community: Deep-rooted, cool season, perennial bunchgrasses > short-statured rhizomatous grasses
	Sub-dominant: Associated perennial grasses and grass-like plants > deep-rooted, cool season, perennial forbs = fibrous, shallow-rooted, cool season, perennial and annual forbs > tall shrubs
	Other:
	Additional:
13.	Amount of plant mortality and decadence (include which functional groups are expected to show mortality or decadence): Bunchgrasses nearly always show some mortality and decadence.
14.	Average percent litter cover (%) and depth (in): Within plant interspaces (± 50%) and depth of litter ±1/2 inch.
15.	Expected annual annual-production (this is TOTAL above-ground annual-production, not just forage annual-production): For normal or average growing season (through June) ± 1000 lbs/ac; Favorable years ± 1300 lbs/ac and unfavorable years ±700 lbs/ac.
16.	Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species

16. Potential invasive (including noxious) species (native and non-native). List species which BOTH characterize degraded states and have the potential to become a dominant or co-dominant species on the ecological site if their future establishment and growth is not actively controlled by management interventions. Species that become dominant for only one to several years (e.g., short-term response to drought or wildfire) are not invasive plants. Note that unlike other indicators, we are describing what is NOT expected in the reference state for the ecological site: Potential invaders include annual mustards, annual kochia, pigweed, tall whitetop (perennial pepperweed), purslane, and salt cedar.

Perennial plant reproductive capability: All functional groups should reproduce in average (or normal) and above average growing season years.							